

Arab Journal of Urology

ISSN: (Print) 2090-598X (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/taju20

The effect of body mass index at the time of donation on postoperative and remote consequences of nephrectomy in 189 living-related kidney donors

Walid Kerkeni, Mohamed H. Rebai, Abderrazak Bouzouita, Marouene Chakroun, Riadh Ben Slama, Taieb Ben Abdallah, Amine Derouiche & Mohamed Chebil

To cite this article: Walid Kerkeni, Mohamed H. Rebai, Abderrazak Bouzouita, Marouene Chakroun, Riadh Ben Slama, Taieb Ben Abdallah, Amine Derouiche & Mohamed Chebil (2015) The effect of body mass index at the time of donation on postoperative and remote consequences of nephrectomy in 189 living-related kidney donors, Arab Journal of Urology, 13:3, 221-224, DOI: <u>10.1016/j.aju.2015.06.002</u>

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aju.2015.06.002

9	© 2015 Arab Association of Urology	Published online: 05 Apr 2019.
	Submit your article to this journal 🛽 🖉	Article views: 187
۵	View related articles 🗷	View Crossmark data 🕑



Arab Journal of Urology (Official Journal of the Arab Association of Urology)

www.sciencedirect.com



RENAL TRANSPLANTATION ORIGINAL ARTICLE

The effect of body mass index at the time of donation on postoperative and remote consequences of nephrectomy in 189 living-related kidney donors



Walid Kerkeni^a, Mohamed H. Rebai^{a,*}, Abderrazak Bouzouita^a, Marouene Chakroun^a, Riadh Ben Slama^a, Taieb Ben Abdallah^b, Amine Derouiche^a, Mohamed Chebil^a

^a Urology Department, Charles Nicolle Hospital, Tunis, Tunisia

^b Department of Nephrology and Internal Medicine, Charles Nicolle Hospital, Tunis, Tunisia

Received 2 January 2015, Received in revised form 19 February 2015, Accepted 6 June 2015 Available online 9 July 2015

KEYWORDS

Kidney; Living donor; Body mass index

ABBREVIATION

BMI, body mass index

Abstract *Objective:* To analyse the effects of baseline body mass index (BMI) on the postoperative and remote consequences of nephrectomy in living kidney donors, as body weight is conventionally used as an exclusion criterion for kidney donation and a BMI of $< 35 \text{ kg/m}^2$ is often required.

Patients and methods: We retrospectively studied 189 living-related kidney donors who had their nephrectomy between 1986 and 2009 in our urology department. We recorded the BMI at the time of donation, and analysed variables after surgery, and clinico-biological factors remotely. The effect of the initial BMI after surgery and much later after nephrectomy was assessed.

Results: The mean follow-up was 9.28 years. The mean (range) BMI at the time of donation was 26.5 (18.5–41.1) kg/m²; 33% of donors were overweight (BMI 25–30) and 21% were obese (\geq 30), with 10.5% having a BMI of > 35 kg/m². The duration of hospitalisation was not related to the BMI. There was no significant difference between the mean BMI of donors with a simple postoperative history and donors who had complications after surgery. Among obese donors, only 7.7% had a complication, which was a surgical-site infection in all cases. The baseline

* Corresponding author.

Peer review under responsibility of Arab Association of Urology.



http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aju.2015.06.002

2090-598X © 2015 Arab Association of Urology. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

E-mail address: rebaimedhedi@yahoo.fr (M.H. Rebai).

BMI was higher among donors who maintained normal renal function and no proteinuria than in donors with impaired renal function and/or proteinuria; the difference was not significant. The occurrence of hypertension or diabetes was independent of baseline BMI. Donors with dyslipidaemia had no significantly greater baseline BMI than those with no dyslipidaemia.

Conclusion: The BMI at the time of kidney donation does not seem to influence the short- or long-term consequences of nephrectomy in living donors.

© 2015 Arab Association of Urology. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

Living-donor kidney transplantation is currently considered the best treatment for end-stage kidney failure. The success not only depends on its results in the recipient, with a long graft survival time expected, but also on the safety of nephrectomy in the donors. To ensure this safety, the selection of potential donors must be rigorous. Even if the donor-selection criteria are not standardised, they have been the subject of international recommendations in a consensus conference held in Amsterdam in 2004 [1]. A body mass index (BMI) of $< 35 \text{ kg/m}^2$ is one of the key elements required to accept a potential donor. The increasing prevalence of obesity therefore threatens the availability of living donors [2].

The aim of the present study was to assess the effects of the baseline BMI on the postoperative and remote consequences of nephrectomy in living donors.

Patients and methods

We conducted a retrospective study of 189 living-related kidney donors who had their nephrectomy between 1986 and 2009 in our urology department. We recorded the BMI at the time of donation and analysed variables after surgery, specifying the postoperative course and duration of hospital stay. Clinico-biological variables were assessed remotely, including renal function (serum creatinine level and creatinine clearance), proteinuria, hypertension, diabetes and dyslipidaemia. The effect of the baseline BMI during the perioperative period and later after nephrectomy was then assessed. All data were analysed using standard statistical software and the results considered statistically significant at P < 0.01.

Results

The epidemiological characteristics of the donors are summarised in Table 1. The mean (SD, range) age was 41.8 (12.1, 20–67), the body mass at the time of donation was 70.9 (13.3, 42–108) kg, the BMI was 26.5 (4.8, 18.5–41.1) kg/m² and 33% of donors were overweight (BMI \geq 50 kg/m²).

Variable	%
Gender	
Male	59
Female	41
Marital status	
Single	61
Married	33
Relationship to the recipient	
Ascendants	42
Descendants	1
Collaterals	46
Spouses	9
Educational grade	
Illiterate	27
Primary school	26
High school	23
College	14
Imprecise	10
Smoking	
Smokers	28
Non-smokers	60
Unspecified	12

All donors were operated by lumbotomy, and the mean (SD, range) duration of hospitalisation was 5.5 (3, 3–28) days. It was 5.6 days for donors with a BMI of $< 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$, 4.9 days for overweight donors and 5.3 days for obese donors. There was no statistical correlation between the baseline BMI and duration of hospital stay (P = 0.57).

Nineteen donors (10%) had postoperative complications, and their mean baseline BMI was 25.6 kg/m². The mean baseline BMI of the 170 donors with a simple postoperative course was 26.6 kg/m², and the difference was not significant between the groups of donors with and with no complications (P = 0.48).

Among obese donors, 93% had a simple postoperative course; only 7% of obese donors had a postoperative complication, which was a surgical-site infection in all.

The mean (SD, range) follow-up of the donors was 9.2 (6.3, 0.6–24.8) years. Donors who maintained normal renal function (creatinine clearance > 90 mL/min) during the follow-up and who did not develop proteinuria had a mean baseline BMI of 26.8 kg/m^2 . However, the mean baseline BMI of donors with impaired renal function and/or proteinuria was



Figure 1 The distribution of donors with chronic renal failure, according to their BMI.

25.2 kg/m², and 28% were obese (Fig. 1). Thus, the baseline BMI was higher in donors with normal renal function and no proteinuria during the follow-up, but with no significant difference (P = 0.13).

Hypertension was diagnosed in 45 donors during the follow-up, and their mean baseline BMI was 28.7 kg/m². The baseline BMI of donors with a normal blood pressure was lower (25.8 kg/m²) but with no significant difference (P = 0.22). There was no statistical correlation between the baseline BMI and remote hypertension in donors (P = 0.18).

Diabetes was diagnosed in 21 donors after nephrectomy; their mean baseline BMI was 27.2 kg/m^2 and 38% of them were obese at the time of donation. The mean baseline BMI of non-diabetic donors was not significantly lower (26.4 kg/m²). There was no statistical correlation between baseline BMI and remote diabetes in donors (P = 0.59).

Donors who developed dyslipidaemia during the follow-up had a greater mean baseline BMI than those with no dyslipidaemia (27.3 vs. 25.9 kg/m²) but the difference was not statistically significant (P = 0.13).

Discussion

Before being accepted for kidney donation, living donors must undergo a strict medical investigation to ensure the best functional outcome for recipients, and no or minimal donor morbidity. Body weight is one of the main exclusion criteria, with a BMI of $< 35 \text{ kg/m}^2$ required by the recommendations of the consensus conference in 2004 [1]. The BMI beyond which the person is not accepted for donation is sometimes set at 40 kg/m² [3]. Of the donors in the present study, 21% were obese and only one had a BMI of $> 40 \text{ kg/m}^2$. In a literature review, Young et al. [4] reported the results of 10 studies involving 484 obese living donors with a mean BMI of 34.5 kg/m^2 .

The mean weight at the time of nephrectomy in the present donors was 70.9 kg and the mean baseline BMI was 26.5 kg/m^2 , respectively, comparable to those of the series of Samhan et al. [5] and Textor et al. [6].

According to Young et al. [4], the risk of postoperative complications secondary to nephrectomy in donors was no greater among obese donors. However, in a study of 871 donors, conducted by Johnson et al. [7], a weight of ≥ 100 kg was among risk factors significantly associated with postoperative complications of nephrectomy for kidney donation. In the present series there was no significant difference in baseline BMI between donors with or with no postoperative complications.

By contrast with the present study, where there was no statistical correlation between the duration of hospitalisation and baseline BMI, there was a statistically significant difference reported by Young et al. [4] between the duration of hospital stay in obese donors and nonobese donors.

Some authors reported that obese donors were at risk of kidney dysfunction and proteinuria during the follow-up [8]. This was not confirmed in the short term by Reese et al. [9] who showed, in a recent retrospective study of > 5000 living kidney donors, that at 6 months renal function did not differ in obese and non-obese donors. Also, Rook et al. [10] reported that the function of the remaining kidney was preserved regardless of the initial BMI. In the present series, donors with normal renal function and no proteinuria during the follow-up had paradoxically a higher mean baseline BMI than donors with impaired renal function and/or proteinuria. However, the difference was not statistically significant.

Contrary to the findings of Praga et al. [8], we noted that the occurrence of micro-albuminuria or proteinuria in our donors was not statistically correlated with their initial BMI. As in our study, Gossmann et al. [11] found no significant difference in terms of baseline BMI between groups of donors with or with no proteinuria. In some series, hypertension after kidney donation was positively correlated with the baseline BMI [12,13]. In the present study and that of Gossmann et al. [11], there was no statistical correlation between the BMI at the time of donation and high blood pressure during the follow-up.

Unlike in the present study, where the occurrence of diabetes appeared to be independent of baseline BMI, El-Agroudy et al. [14] reported that diabetes was more common among those donors who gained more weight after nephrectomy.

Demir et al. [15] found that hyperlipidaemia was significantly associated with weight gain and reduced physical activity. In the present study, dyslipidaemic donors had a higher mean baseline BMI than other donors, with no significant difference.

In conclusion, the BMI at the time of donation did not seem to influence the short- or long-term consequences of nephrectomy in living donors in the present series. Thus, we consider that it is acceptable to select overweight or obese potential donors.

Conflict of interest

None.

Source of funding

None.

References

- Delmonico F. Report of the Amsterdam forum on the care of the live kidney donor: data and medical guidelines. *Transplantation* 2005;**79**(Suppl. 6):53–66.
- [2] Foley RN, Ibrahim HN. Long-term outcomes of kidney donors. *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens* 2010;19:129–33.
- [3] Prasad GV, Lipszyc D, Huang M, Nash ML, Rapi L. A prospective observational study of changes in renal function

and cardiovascular risk following living kidney donation. *Transplantation* 2008;**86**:1315–8.

- [4] Young A, Storsley L, Garg AX, Treleaven D, Nguan CY, Cuerden MS, et al. Health outcomes for living kidney donors with isolated medical abnormalities: a systematic review. *Am J Transplant* 2008;8:1878–90.
- [5] Samhan M, Omar AM, Al-Sae'ed T, al-Mousawi M. Early changes in kidney function following living donor nephrectomy. *Transplant Proc* 1999;31:365–6.
- [6] Textor SC, Taler SJ, Driscoll N, Larson TS, Gloor J, Griffin M, Cosio F, et al. Blood pressure and renal function after kidney donation from hypertensive living donors. *Transplantation* 2004;**78**:276–82.
- [7] Johnson EM, Remucal MJ, Gillingham KJ, Dahms RA, Najarian AJ, Matas AJ. Complications and risks of living donor nephrectomy. *Transplantation* 1997;64:1124–8.
- [8] Praga M, Hernandez E, Herrero JC, Morales E, Revilla Y, Díaz-González R, et al. Influence of obesity on the appearance of proteinuria and renal insufficiency after unilateral nephrectomy. *Kidney Int* 2000;**58**:2111–8.
- [9] Reese PP, Feldman HI, Asch DA, Thomasson A, Shults J, Bloom RD. Short-term outcomes for obese live kidney donors and their recipients. *Transplantation* 2009;88:662–71.
- [10] Rook M, Bosma RJ, Van Son WJ, et al. Nephrectomy elicits impact of age and BMI on renal hemodynamics: lower postdonation reserve capacity in older or overweight kidney donors. *Am J Transplant* 2008;8:2077–85.
- [11] Gossmann J, Wilhelm A, Kachel HG, Jordan J, Sann U, Geiger H, et al. Long-term consequences of live kidney donation followup in 93% of living kidney donors in a single transplant center. *Am J Transplant* 2005;5:2417–24.
- [12] Gracida C, Espinoza R, Cedillo U, Cancino J. Kidney transplantation with living donors. Nine years of follow-up of 628 living donors. *Transplant Proc* 2003;35:946–7.
- [13] Torres VE, Offord KP, Anderson CF, Velosa JA, Frohnert PP, Donadio Jr JV, et al. Blood pressure determinants in livingrelated renal allograft donors and their recipients. *Kidney Int* 1987;**31**:1383–90.
- [14] El-Agroudy AE, Sabry AA, Wafa EW, Neamatalla AH, Ismail T, Mohsen T, et al. Long-term follow-up of living kidney donors: a longitudinal study. *BJU Int* 2007;100:1351–5.
- [15] Demir E, Balal M, Paydas S, Sertdemir Y, Erken U. Dyslipidemia and weight gain secondary to lifestyle changes in living renal transplant donors. *Transplant Proc* 2005;37:4176–9.