

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

Volume 36, Issue 7, Page 482-493, 2024; Article no.IJPSS.118577 ISSN: 2320-7035

Study on Genetic Variability and Heritability in F₃ Population of Yard Long Bean (*Vigna unguiculata* subsp. *sesquipedalis* (L.) Verdcourt) for Yield and its Components

Noru Raja Sekhar Reddy ^{a++*}, Beena Thomas ^{a#}, Gayathri G ^{a#}, Seeja G ^{a†} and R Beena ^{b#}

 ^a Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, Kerala Agricultural University, Vellayani, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala-695522, India.
^b Department of Plant Physiology, College of Agriculture, Kerala Agricultural University, Vellayani, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala -695522 India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i74756

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/118577

Original Research Article

Received: 13/04/2024 Accepted: 15/06/2024 Published: 18/06/2024

ABSTRACT

Yardlong bean (*Vigna unguiculata* subsp. *sesquipedalis* (L.) Verdcourt), is significant among legume vegetable crops. Global warming and climate change can significantly impact its cultivation, yield, and production. This study examined the F_3 population of five yardlong bean families: F_3 -1,

++ PG Scholar;

Cite as: Reddy, Noru Raja Sekhar, Beena Thomas, Gayathri G, Seeja G, and R Beena. 2024. "Study on Genetic Variability and Heritability in F3 Population of Yard Long Bean (Vigna Unguiculata Subsp. Sesquipedalis (L.) Verdcourt) for Yield and Its Components". International Journal of Plant & Soil Science 36 (7):482-93. https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i74756.

[#] Assistant Professor;

[†] Professor;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: rajareddynoru@gmail.com;

F₃-2, F₃-3, F₃-4, and F₃-5. The findings revealed that all five families exhibited high phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) and genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) for yield per plant, indicating substantial variability for these traits in their progenies. For all the characters under consideration, the genotypic variation coefficient was lower than the phenotypic coefficient of variation. High heritability, along with high genetic advance per mean (GAM), was observed in pod weight, pods per plant, yield per plant, and vine length. This indicates significant potential for selecting these traits within these specific populations due to the wide range of variation and the influence of additive gene action. The study will help in selecting traits for further crop improvement programs.

Keywords: Heritability; yardlong bean; phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV); genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV); genetic advance as percent mean (GAM).

1. INTRODUCTION

"The yardlong bean (Vigna unguiculata subsp. sesquipedalis (L.) Verdcourt; YB) is a significant legume crop belonging to the Fabaceae family, a chromosome number of 2n = 2x = 22. It is widely grown in tropical and subtropical regions across the globe. Renowned for its long, tender pods, this crop is a staple in many diets and plays a crucial role in sustainable agriculture due to its nitrogen-fixing ability" [1,2]. The cultivation of yard long bean is particularly significant in regions where soil fertility and crop productivity are major concerns [3,4]. Globally, Brazil is the leading producer of yard long beans. In India, which contributes about 28.12% of the world's grain legume production, the annual yield is approximately 23.37 million tonnes from around 29 million hectares of cultivated land [5]. "In India, yardlong beans are predominantly grown in Kerala, Karnataka, and Maharashtra. The primary constraints of YB cultivation under low rainfall conditions are low fertile lands, frequent dry spells, poor availability of quality seeds, lack of improved varieties, and a narrow genetic base" [6-8]. There is an urgent need to enhance the genetic potential of yard long bean for yield.

"The genetic improvement of yard long bean has become a priority to meet the growing demand and to enhance yield potential, resilience to biotic and abiotic stresses, and nutritional quality. One of the critical approaches to achieving these goals is studying genetic variability and heritability within breeding populations" [9]. Understanding the genetic architecture of yield and its contributing traits can provide valuable insights for breeders aiming to develop superior cultivars.

"To increase yield through selection, it's vital to thoroughly grasp the genetic variability within the germplasm and the heritability of desirable traits. This requires a detailed examination of ancillary characters to facilitate better selection. Hence, this study aimed to explore the natural extent of genetic variability in segregating populations of YB, with a focus on pod yield and other yield component traits for future breeding efforts" [10,11].

Genetic parameters like the genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) and phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) are valuable for assessing the variability within germplasm. Burton [12] suggested that considering both GCV and heritability estimates can provide a more accurate estimation of the progress expected from phenotypic selection. Values for heritability and genetic advances are more dependable for predicting gains under selection compared to heritability estimates alone. Thus, this study was conducted to enhance YB genetically by assessing genetic variability and heritability in selected F_3 families.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Plant Material

The material for investigation was collected at the Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding (GPB), College of Agriculture (COA), Vellayani, Kerala, India. The experimental material consisted of five families., F_{3} -1, F_{3} -2, F_{3} -3, F_{3} -4, and F_{3} -5. The F_{3} populations were grown as families, and the F_{3} populations were grown as families, and the F_{3} populations were sown following a spacing of 1.5m between the rows and 0.45m between the plants within a row. Agronomic practices were done as per the Package of Practices Recommendations Crops 2016 of Kerala Agricultural University [13].

2.2 Experimental Design

The experiment was conducted at the GPB, COA, Vellayani, from February to May 2024. Five

replications of each family with five progenies per replication were laid out in a compact family block design. Data were recorded for yield and yield attributing traits viz., days to 50% flowering, pod length, pod width, pod weight, pods per plant, yield per plant, vine length, harvest index, and crop duration. The data thus generated were subjected to statistical analysis.

2.3 Statistical Analyses

The data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA). The mean values were compared at a p < 0.05 significance level. The study was done using the GRAPES (General R-based Analysis Platform Empowered by Statistics,

(www.kaugrapes.com) software V:1.10 [14].

The mean values obtained for each character were subjected to analysis of variance using a compact family block design according to the model described by Chandel [15]. The study was carried out in two stages as families. The analysis variance (ANOVA) of families was analyzed in compact family block design with r replications, as shown in Table 1.

The progenies under each family were analyzed separately for each character. The ANOVA for progenies was conducted, as shown in Table 2.

Wł

here,		Genotypic coefficient of variation	on. GCV =
r = Number of f = Number of	replications families	$\frac{\sqrt{VG}}{\overline{x}} \times 100$	(Equation
p = Number of M ₄ = Mean sum	progenies within each family of squares due to	Heritability, H2 = $\frac{VG}{VP} \times 100$	(Equatior
$M_5 = Mean sum$ $M_6 = Mean sum$	of squares due to families of squares due to main plot	Genetic Advance, $GA = k$. H2. \sqrt{VP}	(Equation
error $\sigma_{e}^{2}=$ Error varia $\sigma_{e}^{2}=$ Error varia	ance for families ance for progenies	Genetic advance as percent means $\frac{GA}{\overline{x}} \times 100$	an , GAM = (Equatior

Source	Degrees of freedom	Mean Squares	Expected mean squares
Replications	(r-1)	M ₁	$\sigma_{e^{1}}^{2} + \sigma_{r}^{2}$ r
Families	(f-1)	M2	$\sigma^2 e^1 + \sigma^2 f$
Error	(r-1) (f-1)	Mз	$\sigma^{2}e^{1}$

Source	Degrees of freedom	Mean Squares	Expected mean squares
Replications	(r-1)	M4	σ²e² + pσ² r
Progenies within families	(p-1)	M ₅	$\sigma^2 e^2 + r \sigma^2 p$
Error	(r-1) (p-1)	M ₆	$\sigma^2 e^2$

Table 2. Analysis of variance for progenies

 $\sigma^2 r$ = Variance between replications $\sigma^2 p$ = Variance between progenies

Before comparing, a homogeneity test of error variance for progenies was carried out for each character by applying Bartlett's homogeneity test described by Panse and Sukhatme [15].

From Table 2, the following statistics were computed.

- (1) Standard error of the mean (S.Em) = $\sqrt{M6/r}$
- (2) Critical difference (C.D.)= $S.E \times \sqrt{2} \times$ (0.05) at error degree of freedom
- (3) Coefficient of variation (C.V.) % = $\sqrt{M6}$ / (Mean of progenies) * 100

Phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation (PCV and GCV) were calculated following equations 1 and 2. Broad-sense heritability (h^2 (bs)) was determined using the equation 3 provided by Lush [16]. The genetic advance was estimated from the heritability estimates using equation 4 proposed by Johnson [17]. Genetic advance per mean is computed by using equation 5.

Phenotypic coefficient of	variation, PCV =
$\frac{\sqrt{VP}}{\overline{x}} \times 100$	(Equation 1)

n 2)

n 3)

า 4)

n 5)

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Analysis of Variance

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was done to know the variations among the progenies based on the nine morphological traits. The analysis of variance for all the characters studied in five families of YB was presented in Table 3. The analysis of variance between families revealed that the mean squares due to crosses were significant for pods per plant.

Bartlett's test for error variances for five families indicated that the error variances were homogeneous for the characters pod width, vine length, and harvest index and other characters like days to 50% flowering, pod length, pod weight, pods per plant, yield per plant and crop duration are not homogenous between families and all characters are homogenous within each family.

Before comparing, a homogeneity test of error variance for progenies was carried out for each character by applying Bartlett's homogeneity test described by Panse and Sukhatme[18]. Between families, Bartlett's test for error variances for five families indicated that the error variances were homogeneous for the characters pod width, vine length, and harvest index and other characters like days to 50% flowering, pod length, pod weight, pods per plant, yield per plant and crop duration are not homogenous between families. However, all progeny error variances within the families are homogenous because these are F₃ segregation populations [10,11,19,20].

Between families, all characters except pod width, vine length, and harvest index, all five families were significantly different. The ANOVA among progenies within each family indicated a significant difference between progeny means for characters days to 50% flowering, pods per plant, yield per plant, and crop duration in F₃-1, in F₃-2 for pods per plant and vine length, in F₃-3 for vine length. While in F3-4 and F3-5, no progeny means were significantly different.

3.2 Genetic Parameters

Segregation, by allowing allelic recombination, increases the variability among the population. The estimates of genetic parameters viz., phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variation (PCV and GCV), heritability in a broad sense, genetic advance, and genetic advance as percent of mean were computed for nine characters in five families of yard long bean (Table 4). The PCV, GCV, heritability, and GAM ranged from 1.54 to 50.30, 1.22 to 37.69, 47.23 to 88.21%, and 6.40 to 49.75%, respectively.

Different genotypes exhibit a broad spectrum of variability across various traits. The presence of extensive variability in quantitative traits has been documented in yardlong beans [21-25]. Genetic Coefficient of Variation (GCV) provides essential information for evaluating and analyzing these traits' genetic variability range. In contrast, the Phenotypic Coefficient of Variation (PCV) assesses the extent of total variation present [26,27].

3.3 Phenotypic and Genotypic Coefficient of Variation (PCV and GCV)

High PCV and GCV were observed in yield per plant for all five families (Fig. 1). Moderate PCV was observed for days to 50% flowering by F_{3} -5, pods per plant by F_{3} -1, F_{3} -4, and both moderate PCV and GCV were observed in F_{3} -5, crop duration by F3-3. All five families showed low PCV and GCV in pod length, pod weight, vine length, and harvest index. The analysis showed that the phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) was slightly greater than the genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) for all traits. This suggests that the characteristics are primarily influenced by the genotypes with minimal environmental impact.

High PCV and GCV values were recorded for yield per plant across all five families, consistent with the results reported for cowpea and vegetable cowpea yield (kg/plant) [21,28]. Moderate PCV was noted for days to 50% flowering in F3-5 and pods per plant in F3-1 and F3-4, while moderate PCV and GCV were observed in crop duration for F3-5 and F3-3. These observations align with the findings of vegetable cowpeas and cowpeas [21,29,30]. Conversely, all five families exhibited low PCV and GCV in traits such as pod length, pod weight, vine length, and harvest index, which agrees with the studies on bush cowpeas [23,31,32].

3.4 Heritability (H²) and Genetic Advance as Percent Mean (GAM)

For all characters, moderate to high heritability was observed for all five families. In F_3 -1, the highest heritability was observed in days to 50% flowering, pod width, pod weight, pods per plant, and crop duration. Moderate heritability was observed in pod length, yield per plant, vine length, and harvest index.

Source of variation	Degrees of freedom	Days to 50 % flowering	Pod length (cm)	Pod width (mm)	Pod weight (a)	Pods per plant	Yield per plant (g)	Vine length (m)	Harvest index (%)	Crop duration (days)
			Ana	alvsis of varia	nces betwe	en families				(uuys)
Replication	4	57.12	18.57	1.09	5.9**	32.85	326.03	1.80	389.12**	48.73
Families	4	148.70**	43156**	0.95	51.81**	943.77**	3347429.29**	2.42	41.95	938.87**
Error	16	23.19	6.71	0.49	1.00	16.91	10227.22	1.80	11.75	23.66
Bartlett's test		S	S	NS	S	S	S	NS	NS	S
			Analysis of va	riances betwe	en progeni	es of differen	t families			
			•	F ₃ -1	- · · ·					
Replication	4	25.36**	4.27	0.13	4.16	20.34*	21317.30*	0.63**	4.93	6.46
Progenies	4	22.96**	7.40	0.14	4.39	27.74**	8294.47	0.13	6.11	10.66*
Error	16	3.16	5.66	0.08	2.24	4.99	5603.48	0.10	5.19	3.41
Bartlett's test		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
				F3-2						
Replication	4	36.76**	14.48	1.75*	1.48*	27.54*	15637.69**	0.81**	7.29	33.64
Progenies	4	15.76	5.49	0.82	0.50	32.24*	2804.25*	0.61**	12.38	42.64
Error	16	6.78	5.01	0.45	0.28	7.12	921.55	0.08	7.36	32.54
Bartlett's test		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
				F ₃ -3						
Replication	4	14.74	3.45	0.08	3.67*	9.74	3124.59	0.43*	32.79	45.44
Progenies	4	10.34	21.85	0.39	1.34	11.44	3973.93	0.57**	15.46	79.74
Error	16	6.32	13.94	0.32	1.04	7.69	1803.36	0.11	11.48	31.77
Bartlett's test		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
				F ₃ -4						
Replication	4	36.26	2.74	0.11	0.03	10.84	1154.23	0.55**	6.51	37.16
Progenies	4	19.66	6.05	0.48	0.22	31.34	1616.44	0.08	7.85	68.66
Error	16	12.61	4.70	0.32	0.11	22.49	1005.05	0.06	7.02	38.06
Bartlett's test		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
				F ₃ -5						
Replication	4	31.94	18.37	0.96*	1.10**	32.04	1630.14	0.33	6.42	20.66
Progenies	4	26.74	19.32	0.59	0.30	33.54	2714.75	0.21	10.67	34.46
Error	16	24.17	16.37	0.24	0.19	11.42	1019.36	0.15	6.30	25.68
Bartlett's test		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 3. Analysis of variance (mean squares) between families and between progenies within families of five F₃ families for yield contributing attributes in the yardlong bean

*significant at 1%, ** significant at 5%, S-significant , NS-non significant

Character	Family	Mean	PV	GV	PCV	GCV	H ² (bs) (%)	GA	GAM
Days to 50% flowering	F ₃ -1	42.92	21.19	14.40	6.80	5.60	67.98	6.45	15.02
	F ₃ -2	45.88	21.18	14.40	6.89	5.68	67.97	6.44	14.05
	F3-3	40.84	15.39	9.07	6.13	4.71	58.97	4.77	11.67
	F ₃ -4	47.68	29.74	17.13	7.89	5.99	57.61	6.47	13.57
	F3-5	44.84	46.07	21.90	10.13	6.98	47.54	6.65	14.82
Pod length(cm)	F ₃ -1	39.13	11.92	6.26	5.52	4.10	52.55	3.74	9.55
	F3-2	32.96	9.49	4.48	5.36	3.68	47.23	3.00	9.09
	F ₃ -3	39.12	32.99	19.06	9.18	6.98	57.76	6.83	17.47
	F ₃ -4	29.55	9.81	5.11	5.76	4.15	52.09	3.36	11.37
	F3-5	33.83	32.41	16.04	9.78	6.88	49.50	5.81	17.16
Pod width (mm)	F3-1	8.42	0.20	0.12	1.54	1.22	62.36	0.57	6.82
	F3-2	8.39	1.18	0.73	3.75	2.95	61.78	1.38	16.48
	F ₃ -3	7.95	0.64	0.32	2.84	2.01	50.26	0.83	10.42
	F ₃ -4	8.13	0.72	0.41	2.99	2.25	56.46	0.99	12.14
	F ₃ -5	8.17	0.77	0.54	3.08	2.57	69.36	1.25	15.35
Pod weight (g)	F3-1	12.25	6.18	3.94	7.10	5.67	63.83	3.27	26.68
	F ₃ -2	10.18	0.73	0.44	2.67	2.09	61.21	1.08	10.58
	F3-3	11.17	2.17	1.13	4.40	3.18	52.18	1.58	14.18
	F ₃ -4	8.79	0.30	0.19	1.87	1.50	64.26	0.73	8.25
	F3-5	9.24	0.45	0.26	2.20	1.68	57.90	0.80	8.66
Pods per plant	F ₃ -1	41.56	31.73	26.74	8.74	8.02	84.27	9.78	23.53
	F3-2	35.96	37.93	30.82	10.27	9.26	81.25	10.31	28.67
	F ₃ -3	32.36	17.59	9.90	7.37	5.53	56.29	4.86	15.03
	F ₃ -4	27.24	49.33	26.84	13.46	9.93	54.41	7.87	28.90
	F3-5	27.04	42.67	31.26	12.56	10.75	73.25	9.86	36.45
Vine length (m)	F3-1	3.97	0.21	0.12	2.32	1.70	53.69	0.51	12.77
	F3-2	2.99	0.67	0.59	4.73	4.44	88.21	1.49	49.75
	F ₃ -3	3.64	0.66	0.55	4.26	3.89	83.41	1.40	38.35
	F3-4	2.63	0.13	0.07	2.19	1.61	54.48	0.40	15.39
	F3-5	3.37	0.32	0.18	3.09	2.29	54.88	0.64	18.98
Harvest index (%)	F ₃ -1	37.86	10.26	5.07	5.21	3.66	49.43	3.26	8.61
	F3-2	35.58	18.27	10.91	7.17	5.54	59.70	5.26	14.77
	F3-3	37.43	24.64	13.17	8.11	5.93	53.43	5.46	14.60
	F ₃ -4	35.64	13.46	6.44	6.15	4.25	47.85	3.62	10.15

Table 4. Genetic variability and selection parameters estimated for yield and its components in F₃ populations of five families of yardlong bean

Noru et al.; Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 36, no. 7, pp. 482-493, 2024; Article no.IJPSS.118577

Character	Family	Mean	PV	GV	PCV	GCV	H ² (bs) (%)	GA	GAM
	F ₃ -5	34.86	15.70	9.41	6.71	5.19	59.90	4.89	14.03
Crop duration (days)	F ₃ -1	87.72	13.39	9.98	3.91	3.37	74.53	5.62	6.40
	F ₃ -2	99.64	68.67	36.13	8.30	6.02	52.62	8.98	9.02
	F3-3	95.96	105.15	73.39	10.47	8.75	69.79	14.74	15.36
	F ₃ -4	104.48	99.11	61.05	9.74	7.64	61.60	12.63	12.09
	F ₃ -5	97.32	55.01	29.32	7.52	5.49	53.31	8.15	8.37

PV-Phenotypic Variation, PCV- Phenotypic Coefficient of Variation, GV-Genotypic Variation, GCV- Genotypic Coefficient of Variation, H (bs) (%)-Heritability (broad sense), GA-Genetic Advance, GAM-Genetic Advance per Mean



Noru et al.; Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 36, no. 7, pp. 482-493, 2024; Article no.IJPSS.118577

Fig. 1. Phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variation (PCV & GCV) of yield per plant in all five families



Fig. 2. Heritability (bs)% and genetic advance per mean(%) of yield per plant in all five families

In F₃-2, the highest heritability was observed in days to 50% flowering, pod width, pod weight, pods per plant, yield per plant, and vine length. Moderate heritability was observed in pod length, harvest index, and crop duration. Highest heritability in yield per plant, vine length, and crop duration. Moderate heritability was observed

in days to 50% flowering, pod length, pod width, pod weight, harvest index, and pods per plant in F_3 -3. F_3 -4. The highest heritability was observed in pod weight and crop duration. Moderate heritability in days to 50% flowering, pod length, pod width, yield per plant, pods per plant, vine length, and harvest index.Highest heritability in pod width, crop duration, pods per plant, and yield per plant. Moderate heritability was observed in days to 50 % flowering, pod length, pod weight, vine length, and harvest index in F_{3} -5. The highest heritability and genetic advance as per mean observed in yield per plant was depicted in Fig. 2.

High heritability suggests a significant influence of additive and additive x additive gene action, which can be harnessed through simple selection methods [33,19,20]. Similar findings have been reported for yield (kg/plant) in yardlong bean, plant height at final harvest and the pods per plant in cowpea, pod length in yardlong bean, vegetable cowpea, the number of pods per plant in bush cowpea, and in vegetable cowpea [21,31,34-37]. Additionally, high heritability in yardlong bean and cowpea for traits such as pod length, vine length, and the number of pods per plant, pods per plant, and yield per plant [34,28,38,39].

The highest GAM was observed in F_3 -1 for pod weight, pods per plant, and yield per plant. In F_3 -2, pods, yield per plant, and vine length were shown. F_3 -3 for yield per plant and vine length F_3 -4 and F_3 -5 showed the highest GAM for pods per plant and yield per plant.

Moderate GAM was observed in days to 50% flowering and vine length by F_3 -1. In F_3 -2, days to 50% flowering, pod width, pod weight, pods per plant, harvest index, and crop duration [40-42]. In F_3 -3, observed, moderate GAM in days to 50% flowering, pod width, pod weight, pods per plant, harvest index, crop duration, and vine length. In F_3 -4, days to 50% flowering, pod length, harvest index, crop duration, and vine length showed moderate GAM. F3-5 a moderate GAM was observed in days to 50% flowering, pod length, harvest index, and vine length.

Low GAM was observed in pod width, harvest index, and crop duration (F_3 -1). -Crop duration (in F_3 -2).pod weight (in F_3 -4). Pod weight and crop duration (in F_3 -5).

High heritability with high GAM for pods per plant, yield per plant, pod weight, and vine length suggest additive gene action. These traits can be used for effective selection in further breeding programs to improve the yield.

4. CONCLUSION

The phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) for all traits exceeds the genotypic coefficient of

variation (GCV), indicating that environmental factors influence these traits. However, the minimal differences between PCV and GCV suggest negligible environmental impact on trait expression. Traits such as the number of pods per plant, yield per plant, pod weight, and vine length exhibit high values of both PCV and GCV. These traits show substantial variability, heritability, and genetic progress as a percentage of the mean, indicating that they possess sufficient genetic variability and are influenced primarily by additive genetic factors with minimal environmental interference. Therefore, direct selection for these traits will likely enhance crop vield. The observed variability among the F3 progenies of yard long bean from five different families indicates that progeny selection is an effective strategy to increase yield.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

RN gratefully acknowledges MR. Ayyagari Ramlal, school of biological sciences, universiti sains malaysia, malaysia, and mr. Bala subba reddy, who have contributed to the manuscript preparation.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ano AO, Ubochi CI. Nutrient composition of climbing and prostrate vegetable cowpea accessions Afr J Biotechnol. 2008;7(20): 3795-3798.
- Suma A, Latha M, John JK, Aswathi PV, Pandey CD, Ajinkya A. Yard-long bean. In: Pratap A, Gupta S (eds). The Beans and the Peas: From Orphan to Mainstream Crops, Woodhead Publishing, UK. 2021;153-172
- 3. Blair, MW, Wu, X Bhandari D, Zhang X, Hao J. Role of legumes for and as horticultural crops in sustainable

agriculture, Organic Farm Sustain Agric 2016:9:185-211.

- 4. Rani K, Rani A, Sharma P, Dahiya A, Punia H. Kumar S. Sheoran S. Baneriee A. Legumes for agroecosystem services and sustainability. In: Meena RS, Kumar S (eds) Advances in Legumes for Sustainable Intensification. Academic Press, UK. 2022;363-380
- Gayacharan PS, Mondal N, Yadav R, 5. Vishwakarma H, Rana JC (2023) Mining legume germplasm for genetic gains: An Indian perspective. Front. Genet. 2023:14:996828.
- 6. Pandey, Abhay Kumar, Dharmendra Kumar, Shivanshu Shekhar, Addya Singh, Divya Singh, Neha Yadav, Ruchi Singh, and Sharad Singh. A Review on Genetic Analysis of Rice (Oryza Sativa L.) Crop of vield contributing traits. Asian Plant Journal. 2022;9(4):48-53. Research Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/apri/2022/ v9i430214
- 7. Singh, Arjun, AK Pandey, Siddesh S, Rutuja Nale, Nirankar Verma, Vinay Kuma. Morphological characterization of elite Indian bean genotypes of bundelkhand region. Asian Journal of Soil Science and 2024;10(1):366-72. Plant Nutrition. Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/ajsspn/20 24/v10i1242
- 8. Singh BK, Deka BC, Ramakrishna Y. Genetic variability, heritability and interrelationships in pole-type French bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.). Proceedings of the national academy of sciences, India Section B: Biological Sciences. 2014 ;84:587-92.
- 9. Parker TA, Gallegos JA, Beaver J, Brick M, Brown JK, Cichy K, Debouck DG, Delgado-Salinas A, Dohle S, Ernest E, de Jensen CE., 2022, Genetic resources and breeding priorities in Phaseolus beans: Vulnerability, resilience. and future challenges. Plant Breeding Reviews. 2022; 46:289-420.
- 10. Sindhu S, ShanthiPriya M, Prashanthi L. Sudhakar P. Genetic variability for yield and yield attributing traits in F3 generation of green gram. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2019;8(02):2423-2431.
- 11. Sarvani M, Shanthi P, Sekhar MR, Latha P. Genetic variability for yield and yield attributing traits in F3 generation of blackgram. Electronic J. Plant Breeding. 2020;11(02):702-706.

- Burton GW. Quantitative inheritance in 12. grass. Proceedings of 6th International Grassland Congress. 1952;1:277-283.
- (Kerala Agricultural University), 13. KAU Package of practices recommendations: Crops (15th Ed.). Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur. 2016;393.
- Gopinath PP, Parsad R, Joseph B, Adarsh 14. VS. GRAPES: General rshiny based analysis platform empowered by statistics; 2020.

Available:https://www.kaugrapes.com/hom e. version 1.0.0. Doi: 10.5281/zenodo.4923220.

Chandel SRS. A hand book of Agricultural

- 15. Statistics. Mandir. 2015;B157-171.
- 16. Lush JL. Intra-site Correlation and regression of offspring in rams as a method of estimating heritability of characters. Proceedings of American Society of Animal Production. 1940;33: 292-301.
- 17. Johnson HW, Robinson HF, Comstock RE. Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybean. Agron J. 1955a;47: 314-318.
- 18. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers 2nd Ed. Indian council of agricultural research publication, New Delhi. 1985;245-250.
- 19. Chaudhary AR, Solanki SD, Rahevar PM. Patel DA. Genetic variability, correlation, and path coefficient analysis for yield and its attributing traits in cowpea [Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp] accessions. Intl J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2020;9(2):1281-1293.
- 20. Kalluru S, Desai SS, Dalvi VV, Mane AV. Pethe UB. Study of genetic variability F3 parameters in generation of interspecific hybrids in cowpea [Vigna spp.]. In Biological Forum–An International Journal. 2022;14(1):1444-1450).
- 21. Sivakumar V, Celine VA. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and yield attributes in yardlong bean (Vigna unguiculata subsp. sesquipedalis (L.) Verdcourt). Bioinfolet. 2014;1(2A):415-417.
- 22. Litty V. Identification of yard long bean (Vigna unguiculata subsp. sesquipedalis (L.) Verdcourt) genotypes suitable for polyhouse cultivation. M.Sc. (Hort) Thesis, Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur. 2015;140.

- Rambabu E, Ravinderreddy K, Kamala V, Saidaiah P, Pandravada SR. Genetic variability and heritability for quality, yield and yield components in yard long bean (*Vigna unguiculata* ssp. *sesquipedalis* (L.) Verdc.). Green Farming. 2016;7(2):311-315.
- 24. Asoontha, Abraham M. Variability and genetic diversity in yard long bean (*Vigna unguiculata* subsp. *sesquipedalis*). Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2017;6(9):3646-3654.
- 25. Edematie VE, Fatokun C, Boukar O, Adetimirin VO, Kumar PL. Inheritance of pod length and other yield components in two cowpea and yard-long bean crosses. Agronomy. 2021;11(4): p.682.
- 26. Shrivas S, Devi B, Maurya KR, Patel M. Studies on genetic variability and heritability of different traits in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp). Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Biosciences. 2020;8(6):575-579.
- Saidaiah P, Pandravada SR, Geetha A, Kamala V. Investigations on per se performance, genetic variability and correlations in vegetable cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.] Germplasm for Yield and Its Attributing Traits. Leg. Res. An International Journal. 2021;44(11): pp.1267-1277.
- Thouseem N, Thomas B, Elizabeth N. Genetic parameters in seed yield components of cowpea (Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.). Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2018;7(2):2268-2274.
- 29. Pravin KK, Nathish KR, Nagajothi T, Rajesh L, Usha KR. Morphological and genetic variation studies in cowpea genotypes [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp]. Leg. Res. 2013;36(4):351-354.
- Sultana Z, Ahmed N, Islam MS, Rahim MA. Genetic variability and yield components of yard long bean. Agronomski glasnik/Agronomy Journal. 2021;(0002-1954), 83(3).
- Vavilapalli S, Celine VA, Duggi S, Padakipatil S, Magadum SK. Genetic variability and heritability studies in bush cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Verdcourt.). Legume Genome Genet. 2013;4(4):27-31.
- 32. Anwar, S. and Kusmiyati, F. January. estimation of varian component, heritability and correlation to determine the selection criteria in F5 population of yardlong bean.

In AIP Conference Proceedings. AIP Publishing. 2023;2586(1).

- Santos SPD, Araújo MDS, Aragão WFLD, 33. Damasceno-Silva KJ. Rocha MDM. vield Genetic analysis of [Vigna component traits in cowpea unguiculata (L.) Walp.]. Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology. 2024;24 (1):e46432413.
- Savithiri N, Beaulah A, Thingalmaniyan SK, Rajeswari S, Kumar R. Study on genetic variability for yield and quality of different genotypes of yardlong bean (*Vigna unguiculata* subsp. *sesquipedalis* (L.) Verdc.). Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2018;7(9):3613-3617.
- Mary SS, Gopalan A. Dissection of genetic attributes among yield traits of fodder cowpea in F3 and F4. J. Appl Sci Res. 2006;2(10):805-808.
- Jithesh VG. Genetic analysis of resistance to pod borers and yield in yardlong bean (*Vigna unguiculata* subsp. sesquipedalis (L.) Verdcourt). M.Sc. (Ag) Thesis, Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur. 2009;187.
- Nwosu DJ, Olatunbosun BD, Adetiloye IS. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in cowpea genotypes in two agroecological environments. Greener J. Biol. Sci. 2013;3(5):202-207.
- Sathish N, Gasti VD, Rathod V, Kamble C. Studies on genetic variability and divergence in yardlong bean (*Vigna* unguiculata ssp. sesquipedalis (L.) Verdc.) genotypes screened under polyhouse conditions; 2023.
- 39. Kusmiyati F, Anwar S, Herwibawa B. January. Study on genetic variability and heritability in F5 segregating generation for yield and its compenents in yardlong bean. In International Conference on Tropical Agrifood, Feed and Fuel *(ICTAFF 2021)*. Atlantis Press. 2022;44-48.
- Pidigam S, Thuraga V, Pandravada R, Natarajan S, Adimulam S, Amarapalli G, Nimmarajula S, Venkateswaran K. 2021. Genetic improvement of yardlong bean (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp. ssp. sesquipedalis (L.) Verdc.). Advances in Plant Breeding Strategies: Vegetable Crops: Leaves, Flowerheads, Green Pods, Mushrooms and Truffles. 2021;10:379-420.
- 41. Airina CK, Sarada S. Estimates of variability, heritability and genetic advance

Noru et al.; Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 36, no. 7, pp. 482-493, 2024; Article no.IJPSS.118577

Varieties.

2020;6(4):

Science

of

42.	for yield and quality traits in vegetable cowpea (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i> sub sp. <i>sesquipedalis</i> (L.) Verdcourt). Mohammed AS, Adamu AK. Heritability	<i>unguiculata</i> International for Global 6-60.	[L.,] walp) Journal o Sustainability.
	Studies in Different Cowpea (<i>Vigna</i>		

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/118577