

# Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology

18(2): 1-7, 2017; Article no.AJAEES.34651

ISSN: 2320-7027

# Effect of Front Line Demonstrations of Chickpea Cv. RSG-888 on Farmers' Field in Rainfed Condition of Rajasthan, India

M. L. Meena<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>ICAR-CAZRI, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Pali-Marwar (Rajasthan) 306401, India.

Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analyzed and interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJAEES/2017/34651

Editor(s):

(1) Kwong Fai Andrew Lo, Agronomy and Soil Science, Chinese Culture University, Taipei, Taiwan.

Reviewers:

(1) José Alfredo Villagómez-Cortés, Universidad Veracruzana Veracruz, Mexico.
(2) Utpal Barman, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat, Assam, India.
Complete Peer review History: <a href="http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/19982">http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/19982</a>

Original Research Article

Received 3<sup>rd</sup> June 2017 Accepted 5<sup>th</sup> July 2017 Published 10<sup>th</sup> July 2017

#### **ABSTRACT**

In the present study performance of chickpea variety (RSG-888) against local check was evaluated through front line demonstrations conducted at farmer's field during *rabi* season of 2013, 2014 and 2015. A total of 56 demonstrationswere laid on 9.0 ha in 20 villages across six blocks of Pali district. Sowing was done using residual soil moisture of dry condition from second week October to first week of November every year [1]. Package of practices as developed for the region were strictly followed. Recommended seed rate i.e. 70 kgha<sup>-1</sup> against existing farmers' practices of using 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (local check) was broadcasted and nutrients i.e. N, P, and S in the ratio of 20:30:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> were applied. The variety performed much better compared to local check (Pratap Channa) and an average grain yield of 16.7 q/ha was recorded which was 67.00% more than the local check. Straw yield also recorded an increase of 44.20% over local check. In spite of increase in yield both in grain and straw, technological and extension gaps existed which was 7.2 and 5.3 q/ha, respectively. The extension gap can be bridged by popularizing package of practices where in stress need to belaidon use of proper seed rate and balanced nutrient application. Economics of growing released high yielding variety of chickpea RSG-888 recorded a net income of Rs. 39208/, per hectare which is 83.30% more compared to net income from local check (Pratap Channa) Meena and Singh [2].

Keywords: Arid region; chickpea; economics; FLD; rainfed; yield gap.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Chickpea (Cicer arietinum, L.) is the premier pulse crop of India subcontinent. India is the largest chickpea producer as well as consumer in the world. India grows chickpea on About 7.11 million ha area producing 7.06 million tons which represents 37.00% and 42.74% of the national pulse acreage and production, respectively. Chickpea production has gone upfrom 3.65 to 7.06 million tons between 1950-51 and 2015-16, registering a growth of 0.69% annually (ICRPC, [3]. During the period, area has marginally declined from 7.57 to 7.11 million hectare and the productivity has steadily increased to 844 kg/ha from 482 kg/ha. Not with standing its distribution throughout the country, six states viz., Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan, Maharashtra, Uttar Pradesh, Karnataka and Andhra Pradesh together contribute 91% of the production and 90% of the area of the country. There has been a major shift in the area of chickpea in the country. The expansion of irrigation facilities in northern India has led to replacement of chickpea with wheat and mustard in larger areas. As a result, the chickpea area reduced from 3.2 m ha to 1.0 m ha in northern states. The medicinal value of chickpea is worth mentioning here also the leaves and seeds of chickpea due to the presence glandular secretions are commonly used as medicine. This plant holds a good repute in 'Ayurvedic' and 'Unani' system of medicine, and according to ayurvedic method of treatment, chickpea leaves are sour, astringent to bowels, and improve taste and appetite. Moreover the leaves are used to cure chronic bronchitis and the seeds are considered as antibilious, used as tonic, stimulant and aphrodisiac acid is also supposed to lower theblood cholesterol level [4].

Chickpea is grown in many tropical, sub-tropical and temperate regions of the worldand one of the most important pulse crops of India due to its multiple functions in the traditional farming system [5]. Besides helping in the management of soil fertility, particularly in the dryland, it is an important source of human food and animal feed [6]. There are two types of gram, one is the 'Kabuli' white and other is 'deshi' brown. Kabulity peis grown in temperate regions while the 'deshi' type chickpea is grown in the arid and semi-arid tropics [7].

Pali district is located between 24.45 to 26.75 degree N latitude and 72.48 to 74.20 degree E longitude at an altitude ranging between 212 m to about 220 m above mean sea level with a total geographical area of 12,387 square kilometers [8]. In Pali district chickpea traditionally grown as a rabi crop. Arid region is considered to be the pulse bowls of Rajasthan as it to share about 55% area and 40% of total pulse production of state. The average pulses productivity in the arid region was low (520 kg/ha) against 725 kg/ha as the state average [9]. The regions are biotic, abiotic, and socio-economic constraints causing low productivity in pulses in this region. In addition, lack of improved varieties is reported asmost serious constraints among all biophysical constraints in pulses production [10]. Chickpea is most preferred pulse crop in the arid region and is consumed by people of all ranks mainly in the form of green leaves, green seed for vegetables, sattu, flour, roasted grain as well as for making local beverage known as Chhang [11]. Unfortunately use of local varieties and poor nutrient management results in very low yield. Keeping this in view chickpea variety cv.RSG-888 with a potential grain yield of 16.70 and straw yield of 20.5 g/ha [12] was used under front line demonstrations so as to encourage farmers to adopt high yielding variety.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

In the present study performance of chickpea variety, RSG-888 against local check was evaluated through front line demonstrations conducted at farmer's field during rabiseason of 2014, 2015 and 2016. A total of 56 demonstrations were laid on 9 ha area in 10 adopted villages across 6 blocks (Sumerpur. Raipur, Jaitaran, Soiat, Rohat and Banli) of Pali district. Soils of the study area are mostly sandy loam in texture with low nitrogen, medium phosphorus and high available potassium besides being slightly saline in nature. During the crop growing season minimum and maximum temperature extremes ranged between 15.9℃ to 25.7 $^{\circ}$ C and 33.80 $^{\circ}$ C to 36.57 $^{\circ}$ C, respectively. The region does not experience precipitation during the crop period. High-velocity winds and long photoperiods are the other characteristics featuresof the area. Sowing was done using residual soil moisture of drycondition from 15 October to 5 November every year. Package of practices as developed for the region were strictly followed. Recommended seed rate i.e.

70 kg /ha against existing farmers practice of using 100 kg/ha (local check) was broadcasting method and nutrients i.e. N.P.S in the ratio of 20: 30: 40 kg /ha applied through DAP, MOP and urea. Total amount of P and S and half of N was applied as basal dose and the remaining 50% of N was top dressed in two equal splits at 30 and 45 days after sowing. In control group (local check) farmers were no apply of any fertilizers in chickpea crop. Due to climatic conditions, no pest infestation was observed over the year. Before harvesting final plant height (cm) was recorded. At harvesting five random samples of one meter square area from each demonstration field were harvested and composite sample was weighed for total biological yield. After weighing grains were separated by beating ear heads and cleaned grains were weighed for grain yield. Harvest yield index, technological gap, extension gap and technology index were calculated using following equations [13].

$$Harvest\ index(\%) = \frac{Grain\ yield}{Biological\ yield} \times 100$$

Technology gap

= Potential yield- Demonstration yield

Extension gap = Demonstration yield - Farmer's yield

 $Technology\ index(\%) = \frac{Technology\ gap}{Potential\ vield} \times 100$ 

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Performance of chickpea variety RSG-888 during different years from 2014 to 2016 in different blocks of arid region is depicted in Table 1. From the results of 56 front line demonstrations, it is clear that plant height recorded an average of 40.9 cm with maximum 41.6 cm recorded in Raipur and minimum of 40.7 cm in Jaitaran block. Straw yield recorded an average of 19.9 q/ha against an average of 13.8 q/ha in local check, thereby recording an increase of 44.20% over local check. In different blocks yield of straw recorded a range of 17.7 to 21.3 q/ha, respectively. Grain yield in RSG-888 recorded an average of 16.7 g/ha against a potential yield of 24.0 g/ha. Local check recorded an average yield of only 10.0 g/ha. Yield varied in different blocks with maximumbeing recorded in Soiat (18.0 q/ha). There was a difference between harvest index (%) of RSG-888 and local check were recording a harvest index of ranges from 44.5 to

47.3 in demonstrated and 40.9 to 44.4 in local check (Table 1) clearly demonstrates the superiority of RSG-888 over local check, respectively.

Data pertaining to total grain yield, yield gaps, technological gap, extension gap and technology index (%) is presented in Table 2. Demonstration yield was recorded maximum in Raipur block (18.0)g/ha) whereas on an average demonstration yield in aridregionwas16.7 q/ha increase of 67.00% over local check, where the grain yield harvested was only 10.0 q/ha. Technological gap, which is the difference between potential and demonstration yield was maximum in Rohat block (8.4 g/ha) and lowest in Sojat block (5.2 q/ha), respectively. The findings confirm with the findings of [14,15] they were reported that the more yield under FLD plots as compared to farmers (control plot) in the different studv.

However, overall average technological gap in the region was 7.2 q/ha. Similarly, huge extension gap of 5.3 q/ha was recorded in the region with maximum extension gap Recorded in Jaitaran and Sojat blocks (6.4 and 6.3 g/ha). Extension gap indicates that there is a tremendous scope of extension activities in the region. Mass awareness through print media (folder, leaflets and handbills) is the need of the hour. Package of practices for the chickpea crop as devised need to be followed strictly particularly seed rate, optimum application of nutrients and other management practices. The recommended packages of practices will definitely increase the yield and subsequently reduce the extension gap. Technology index shows the feasibility of evolved technology at the farmer's fieldand lower the value of technology more is the feasibility of the technology [16]. Technology index in the present caser varied between 20.00 to 32.31% and average 27.50% over six blocks of arid region. Table 3 gives the economics of growing RSG-888 in the region. The data clearly indicates the advantage of growing released variety over local check. The findings confirm with the findings of [13,16,-24] they were found that the improved pracites gives higher yield than the local chack under pulses crops.

Since grain yield as well as straw yield is more in the variety used under front line demonstrations, therefore naturally income generated is also more. Total gross income from both grain and straw is Rs.42125/- hectare as against only Rs. 27500/- in the local check. Net income income was only Rs.21390/- per hectare, obtained under FLD was Rs.39208/-which was respectively (Table 3). The findings confirm with 83.30% more the local check, where the net the findings of [25-30]. [31-37] they

Table 1. Comparative study of chickpea variety RSG-888 and local check under front line demonstration in arid condition of Rajasthan

Blocks of the district/evaluation parameters	Years	Sumerpur	Raipur	Jaitaran	Sojat	Rohat	Banli	Total/ mean
No of demonstration								
	2013	3	4	4	3	2	2	18
	2014	4	2	3	3	4	4	20
	2015	3	3	4	3	3	2	18
	Total	10	9	11	9	9	8	56
Total area (ha)		1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	09
Plant height (in cm)	2013	40.1	42.4	39.0	40.0	41.7	42.1	40.9
	2014	42.4	40.5	40.8	41.3	40.7	40.2	41.1
	2015	39.8	41.8	42.3	39.0	39.9	41.1	40.7
	Mean	40.8	41.6	40.7	40.1	40.8	41.3	40.9
	Local check	35.6	36.9	33.6	36.2	35.7	37.2	35.9
Straw yield (q/ha)								
	2013	19.3	21.1	17.6	20.8	21.9	22.1	20.5
	2014	18.4	22.0	16.6	21.7	19.3	19.7	19.6
	2015	19.0	19.7	18.9	19.6	18.1	22.0	19.5
	Mean	18.9	20.9	17.7	20.7	19.8	21.3	19.9
	Local check	14.7	13.9	13.3	12.9	13.6	14.5	13.8
Grain yield (q/ha)								
	2013	16.3	17.9	15.7	16.5	17.7	17.9	17.0
	2014	15.5	18.7	14.8	17.8	15.7	16.0	16.4
	2015	16.9	17.5	16.8	15.6	14.5	18.0	16.6
	Mean	16.2	18.0	15.8	16.6	16.0	17.1	16.7
	Local check	10.4	09.9	09.4	08.9	10.1	11.6	10.0
Harvest index (%)								
	2013	45.8	45.9	47.1	44.2	44.7	44.8	45.4
	2014	45.7	46.0	47.7	45.1	44.9	45.3	45.8
	2015	47.1	47.0	47.0	44.3	45.7	46.2	46.2
	Mean	46.2	46.3	47.3	44.5	45.1	45.4	45.8
	Local check	40.9	41.6	41.4	40.7	42.6	44.4	41.9

Table 2. Yield, yield gaps and technology index of chickpea variety RSG-888

Name of the blocks	Potential grain yield (q/ha)	Demonstration yield(q/ha)		% increase over local check	Technological gap (q/ha)	Extension gap (q/ha)	Technology index (%)
Sumerpur	24.0	16.2	10.4	28.77	7.3	4.2	28.08
Raipur	24.0	18.0	09.9	28.99	8.2	4.0	31.54
Jaitaran	24.0	15.8	09.4	49.61	6.7	6.4	25.77
Sojat	24.0	16.6	08.9	43.45	5.2	6.3	20.00
Rohat	24.0	16.0	10.1	46.67	8.4	5.6	32.31
Banli	24.0	17.1	11.6	36.96	7.1	5.1	27.30
Mean	24.0	16.7	10.0	39.08	7.2	5.3	27.50

Name of Cost of cultivation (Rs./ha) Net Gross income (Rs./ha) blocks Seed **Fertilizers** Labour Straw Grian Total income Total (Rs./ha) Sumerpur 4200 1200 1000 6400 3500 40500 44000 37600 4200 1000 6400 3400 45000 48400 Raipur 1200 42000 Jaitaran 4200 1200 1000 6400 3300 39500 42800 39500 Sojat 4200 1200 1000 6400 3800 41500 45300 38900 Rohat 4200 1200 1000 6400 3700 40000 43700 37300 Banli 4200 1200 1000 6400 3600 42750 46350 39950 Mean 4200 1200 1000 6400 3550 41542 39208 42125 1000 4400 760 2350 25200 Local check 6160 27500 21390

Table 3. Economic analysis of chickpea variety RSG-888 in arid region

reported in frontline demonstration farmers have more benefit as compared to existing practices in pulses crops like gram, moong, pigeon pea and cluster bean crops in different areas.

# 4. CONCLUSION

It may be concluded that the drought tolerance released varieties of chickpea RSG- 888 performed better with an average grain yield of 16.7 q/ha that was 67.00% more than the local variety. Technological and extension gaps existed which can be bridged by popularizing package of practices with emphasis on use of proper seed rate and balanced nutrient application. Replacement of local variety with the released variety would increase the production and net income of by more than fifty thousand rupees.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

The authors acknowledge the Director, CAZRI, Jodhpur and ATARI, Zone VI, Jodhpur for providing financial assistance under Cluster Front Line Demonstrations on Pulses for all logistic support.

### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

#### **REFERENCES**

- DOA. Vital agricultural statistics. Government of Rajasthan, Pant Bhawan, Jaipur. 2015;23-45.
- 2. Meena ML, Singh D. Impact of frontline demonstration on cumin production technology in Rajasthan. Rajasthan

- Journal of Extension Education. 2012; 17:12-17.
- AICRPC. All India coordination research project on Chickpea: A profile IIRP, Kanpur. 122-123.
- Duke Duke JA. Handbook of legumes of world. Plenum Economic Importance Press. 1981:NY:345.
- Saxena MC, Singh KB. The chickpea. ICARDA, CABI, Wallingford, (ed), UK; 1987.
- Ali M, Kumar S. Major technological advances in pulses: Indian scenario (eds). Indian Institute of Pulses Research, Kanpur, India. 2009;1.
- Malhotra RS, Pundir, PS, Slinkard, AE. Genetic resources of chickpea. 1987;67-81.
- 8. DOA. Vital agricultural statistics, Government of Rajasthan, Pant Bhawan, Jaipur. 2012;23-45.
- GOR. Directorate of Agriculture, Government of Rajasthan, Krishi Bhawan, Durgapura, Jaipur. 212-216.
- Burman RR, Singh SK, Singh L, Singh AK. Adoption of improved pulses production technologies and related constraints in Uttar Pradesh. Indian Journal of Pulses Research. 2006; 19:104-106.
- Mir MS, Mir AA. Ethnic foods of Ladhakh. In dynamics of cold arid agriculture (Sharma, JP, Mir AA, Eds). Kalyani Publishers, Ludhiyana, India 2000;297-306.
- 12. Sharma JP. Crop production technology for arid region. Kalyani Publishers, Ludhiana, Punjab; 2002.
- Samui SK, Maitra S, Roy DK, Mondal AK, Saha D. Evaluation of front line demonstration on groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.) in Sundarbans. Journal

- of Indian Society of Coastal Agricultural Research. 2000;18(2):180-183.
- Narwale SS, Pawar AD, Lambade BM, Ugle NS. Yield maximization of chickpea through INM applied to sorghum-chickpea cropping sequence under irrigated condition. Legumes Research. 2009;(4): 282-285.
- Purushottam Singh SK, Chaudhary RN, Kumar K, Praharaj CS, Krishana B. Assessment of technological inputs for major pulses in Bundelkhan region. Journal of Food Legumes. 2012;25(1): 61-65.
- 16. Jeengar KL. Panwar P, Pareek OP. Front Line Demonstration on Maize in Bhilwara district of Rajasthan. Current Agriculture 2006;30(1-2):115-116.
- Kumawat SR. Impact of frontline demonstration on adoption of improved caster production technology. Rajasthan Genetic Resources of Chickpea. 1987; 67-81.
- Ashiwal BL, Hussain A. Demonstration- An effective technology for increasing the productivity of gram. Rajasthan Journal of Extension Education. 2008;16:221-223.
- 19. Tomar RKS, Sahu BL, Singh AK, Prajapati RK. Productivity enhancement of blackgram through improved production technologies in farmers' field. Journal of Food Legumes. 2009;22:202-204.
- 20. Lakhera JP, Sharma BM. Impact of frontline demonstration on adoption of improved moth bean production technology. Rajasthan Journal of Extension Education. 2002;14:43-47.
- 21. Meena ML Singh D. Impact of front line demonstrations on yield of cumin in arid zone of Rajasthan. International J. Seed Spices. 2011;(1):77-80.
- Sagar RL, Chandru G. Evaluation of front line demonstration on mustard in Sunderban, West Bengal. Indian Journal of Extension Education. 2004;40(3-4): 96-97.
- Sharma BL, Sharma RN. Cowpea production technology-Adoption and impact in Sikar district of Rajasthan. Rajasthan Journal of Extension Education. 2008;16:179-183.
- 24. Yadav VPS, Kuma R, Vashishtha AK, Bhela SL. Boosting the pulse production technology through frontline

- demonstrations. Indian Research Journal, of Extension Education. 2007;7(2-3): 12-15.
- 25. Chandra S, Singh P, Lakhera JP. Factors associated with economic motivation of legume growers in desert area of Rajasthan. Journal of Food Legumes. 2012;25(4):326-329.
- Dhaka BL, Meena BS. Suwalka RL. Popularization of improved maize production technology through frontline demonstrations in south-eastern Rajasthan. Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 2010;1(1):39-42.
- Singh A, Singh AK. Yield advantages in pulses at farmers' field. Journal of Food Legumes. 2009;22:198-201.
- 28. Padmaianh M, Venkattakumar R. Yield gap analysis and prioritization of caster production technology. Indian Journal of Extension Education. 2009;45(1-2):62-66.
- 29. Rai HK, Mughal AH, SharmaVK, Singhal SK, Pandey M. Front line demonstration trial of barley Cv. Nurboo on farmers' field in cold arid Kargil region of J&K. Indian Research Journal of Extension Education. 2012;12(2):55-58.
- Mahadik RP, Talathi MS. Impact of frontline demonstrations organized by Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Roha. Indian Journal of Extension Education and Rural Development. 2016;24:162-165.
- Meena ML, Dudi A. On farm testing of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) cultivation for site specific assessment under rainfed condition of western Rajasthan. Indian Journal of Extension Education, 2012;48(3-4):93-97.
- 32. Meena ML, Singh D. Technological and extension yield gaps in green gram in Pali district of Rajasthan, India. Legume Research. 2017;40(1):187-190.
- Rathore RS, Solanki RL and Sisodia SS. Impact of frontline demonstrations on cluster bean production in farmers' field. Indian Journal of Extension Education and Rural Development. 2016; 24:52-54.
- Sreelakshmi, CH, Sameer Kumar CV and Shivani D. Productivity enhancement of pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan* L.) through improved production technology. Madras Agricultural Journal. 2012;99(4-6): 248-250.

- 35. Tiwari RB, Singh V, Parihar P. Role of front line demonstration in transfer of gram production technology. Maharashtra Journal of Extension Education. 2003;22(1):19.
- 36. Singh D, Meena ML, Chaudhary MK.
  Boosting seed spices production technology through front line
- demonstrations. International J. Seed Spices. 2011;1(1):81-84.
- 37. Kumaran M, Vijayaragavan K. Farmers' satisfaction of agricultural extension services in an irrigation command area. Indian Journal of Extension Education. 2005;41(3-4):8-12.

© 2017 Meena; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/19982