Development of an Automated Solar Powered Hot-air Supplemented Dryer

O. Taiwo Aduewa[1*], S. Ajiboye Oyerinde[1] and P. Ayoola Olalusi[1]

[1]*Department of Agricultural Engineering, Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author OTA designed the study, carried out the experiment, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors SAO and PAO managed the analyses of the study and proofread the first draft. Author SAO managed the references. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

The world is facing two major challenges: one is to meet the exponential growing demand for energy particularly in developing and underdeveloped countries and other is to deal with global, regional and local environmental impacts resulting from supply and use of conventional energy. The cost-effective technology for solar drying that can be easily adopted among the rural farmers of developing countries needs to be developed in areas where solar energy is abundantly available. As cheap as the solar energy could be, there are associated problems with the stability of the energy for different purposes due to instability of climatic conditions. For this research, a solar powered hot-air supplemented dryer (SPHSD) with a capacity of 20 kg of sliced yam was designed and developed. The SPHSD has three sections which are solar collector chamber, drying chamber and hot-air supplement chamber which is powered with two 150-watt solar panel and a 200 amps solar battery for continuous operation during bad weather. All data were logged digitally for accuracy and test was done using yam slices. Difference in drying time and stability in drying temperature was evaluated using SPHSD and indirect solar dryer. The result shows stability of temperature in the drying chamber when SPHSD was used while the drying temperature fluctuates

---

Corresponding author: Email: aduewataiwo@gmail.com;

throughout the indirect solar drying test period. Drying experiment was conducted for 481 minutes (between 0910 hrs to 1713 hrs) reducing the moisture content from 71.91%, 72.1% and 72.8% to 27.95%, 25.78% and 28.23% for MC_1, MC_2 and MC_3 in wet basis respectively. Drying experiment was conducted for 832 minutes (between 0901 hrs to 2257 hrs) reducing the moisture content from initial moisture content levels of 72.66%, 71.48% and 71.48% to 13.47%, 12.53% and 12.54% for MC_1, MC_2 and MC_3 in wet basis respectively.

NOMENCLATURES

A_c: Total collector area, (m^2); M_w: Mass of Water, (kg); M_i: Initial moisture content, (kg); M_f: Final moisture content, (kg); m_p: initial mass of product to be dried, (kg); t_d: drying time, (hr); h_{fg}: Latent heat of vaporization, (kJ/kg); T_{pr}: Temperature of product, (K); E: Total heat energy, (kJ); m: mass of crop before drying, (kg); m_a: Mass flow rate of air (kg/h), V'_a: volumetric flow rate, (m^3/h); h_f: final enthalpy, (kJ/kg_{da}); h_i: initial enthalpy, (kJ/kg_{da}); I: insolation on the collector, (kJ/s); w_f: final weight of product, (kg); w_i: initial weight of product, (kg); U_b: Bottom loss coefficient; U_t: Top loss coefficient; U_e: Edge/side loss coefficient; T_p: Absorber plate temperature (K); T_c: Glass cover temperature (K); T_c: Glass cover temperature (K); T_s: hot air temperature (K); h_w: heat transfer coefficient for wind blowing over the cover; K_i: insulation thermal conductivity; X_i: insulation thermal thickness; ε_c: Emissivity; h_{rpc}: heat transfer coefficient (from plate to glass cover); h_{rcs}: heat transfer coefficient (from cover to surface); C_p: Specific heat capacity of water, ($kJkg^{-1}K^{1}$); ΔT: temperature difference between max drying temperatures and initial temperature of the dryer, (K). a_w = water activity; n = pick up factor; ΔW_{CB} = change in humidity ratio which is the moisture that can be removed by heated air; M_a = mass of air required to remove moisture from white yam slices, ρ = density of yam; m = mass of white yam; n = number of yam slices; (β) is angle of tilt of a solar collector; Φ is the angle of the solar collector location; H = Average daily solar radiation on horizontal surface; R= ratio of solar energy on tilted surface to that on the horizontal surface; T_s = average daily radiation on tilted surface for the test location; A_h = air gap height, V_a = average air speed; $I_c max$ = Maximum insolation on the collector surface; $\tilde{U}$ = the superficial air velocity; a = A constant whose value is determined experimentally; S_p = Static pressure, V_p = Velocity Pressure; W_c = Width of collector, F = insulation factor h_{pc} Experience has shown that for free convection, the Nusselt number N_u in air spaces between parallel plates with Grashof number G_r in the range 10^4 to 10^7; Q = amount of heat energy (kJ/s); v_s = Specific volume of the drying air in m^3/kg; E_f = fan energy (kJ), P_w = Power of fan outlet air (W), t = Time (s), E_E = Electromotor electric efficiency (%), E_m = Impeller mechanical efficiency (%); L_o = product thickness on tray.

1. INTRODUCTION

An analysis of the present situation of world agriculture according to Muhlbauer and Esper [1] shows a completely contrasting situation between industrialized and developing countries. This disparity has greatly influenced the possibilities of utilizing solar energy in agriculture especially in crop preservation, processing and storage. In developing countries like Nigeria, the possibilities of utilizing solar energy are economically feasible compared with its use in industrialized countries. High solar insolation, decentralized use, and the low energy demand favor its use in the country.

Solar thermal technology is a technology that is rapidly gaining acceptance as an energy saving measure in agriculture application. It is preferred to other alternative sources of energy such as wind and shale, because it is abundant, inexhaustible, and non-polluting [2]. It is a major driver of holistic development in any nation of the world. Adequate access to energy is crucial for the social wellbeing and economic transformation of any state [3]. With very few exceptions, the developing countries are situated in climatic zones of the world where the insolation is considerably higher than the world average of 3.82 kWh/m^2 day.

In recent years, attempts have been made to develop solar dryers that can be used in agricultural activities in developing countries. Sun drying is still the most common method used to preserve agricultural products in most tropical

and subtropical countries. However, being unprotected from rain, wind-borne dirt and dust, infestation by insects, rodents and other animal, products may be seriously degraded to the extent that sometimes become inedible and result in loss of food quality.

Another significant limitation of solar dryer is that it can only be used during the daytime when there is adequate solar radiation leading to limited production, and moreover it can result in an inferior finished product. Drying methods and the physicochemical changes that occur in tissues during drying affect the quality of the dehydrated products [4]. More specifically, the method and time used for drying affects properties such as colour, texture, density, Porosity and sorption characteristics of materials[4,5] A controlled drying environment is required to deliver a quality dried product. Therefore, it is necessary to provide solar dryer with a back-up heating source with ability to regulate and condition the machine parameters in order of arrive at a more quality dried product faster and continuous.

Hot air drying is one of the most frequently used operations for food dehydration. It is a method in which heated air is blown over food materials with the aid of fan(s) to remove most of the moisture from the food material. The drying of wet materials induces a number of physio-chemical changes in the product, often reflected by colour. By choosing suitable drying methods and the appropriate conditions, the final product quality can be controlled. [6] designed and fabricated a combined solar and mechanical

cabinet dryer that utilizes solar and electrical energy either separately or in combination to conduct air drying. Variation in the temperature level in the drying chamber and the source of power which is not applicable in rural areas with no source of electricity make its unusable in rural communities. [7] also studied the indirect active hybrid solar–electrical dryer in the eastern Algerian Septentrional Sahara. The preliminary heated drying air by solar radiation, arrived at the inlet of cabinet dryer was heated by electrical resistance if its temperature was less than consign temperature. Source of energy for powering the system was electrical making it unsuitable for rural areas with no source of electricity. [8] designed and tested a solar dehumidification system for medicinal herbs. It is made up of essentially three processes, namely regeneration, dehumidification, and batch drying. Apart from the fact that it was designed purposely for leaves which requires lower temperature for effective and optimum drying, the technicality is considered to be too complex for any average processor at subsistent level.

Since environmental conditions are not so reliable and predictable, mechanical dying system can be incorporated into a solar system thereby reducing the cost of drying and also stabilizing the conditions of drying parameters [9]. The objective of this paper is todevelop a fully automated solar powered hot-air supplemented dryer (SPHSD)with moisture metering and data logging system which can be utilized in rural area as its optimum performance does not depend on electrical power.


Fig. 1. Total horizontal solar insolation for some developing countries

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Description of Configuration of Solar Dryer Used

The solar dryer considered in this research paper is the Passive Mixed Mode Solar Dryer (PMMSD) or Hybrid Dryer (HD). Here the product is located on trays or shelves inside an opaque drying chamber. Solar radiation is thus not incident directly on the crop. Preheated air warmed during its flow through a low-pressure thermos phonic solar energy air heater, is ducted to the drying chamber to dry the product with an additional DC heating element powered with PV cells and battery. Because the products are not subjected to direct sunshine, Localized heat damage, do not occur. For the purpose of this study, the major components designed for are discussed below.

- **Drying Chamber**

The drying chamber is a cabinet type with a designed capacity of 20 kg of yam slices. The chamber accommodates a total of 6 detachable trays with dimension 0.65 by 0.65 m which are made from stainless wire mesh to prevent small chips from falling off into the chamber and at the same time ensure easy passage of heated air across the bed fixed to a wooden frame. The trays are spaced at vertical distance of 10 cm from each other. The chamber was constructed using plywood, fiberglass and having its interior part lined with reflective surface. At the upper part of the chamber lies the

outlet vent and it also has insulated roof inclined at an angle 18º.

- **Solar Collector**

The solar collector is a flat plate type with a dimension 1.27 by 0.7 m. It has a fiber glass insulation of about 5 cm thickness. The absorbing surface which receives radiation is a malt cellulose black painted metal screen sheet which is placed normal in between the solar collector chamber. A single tempered glass cover with a thickness of 5 mm is placed above the absorber. The collector is attached to the backside of the drying chamber, oriented at angle 17.5º at the horizontal. The convective current inside the collector flows into the drying chamber by forced convection using an axial fan allowing the ambient air to pass through the absorber and rise up to the load.

- **Hot-air section**

The hot air section houses the DC heating filament of 88 watt and vane axial fan having a flow rate of 0.47 m^3/s and 95.729 watt. The vane axial fan transports hot air generated from the heating element into the drying chamber. The fans are fixed with regulator for adjusting the fan speed thereby regulating the air flow rate.

- **The PV solar collector setup**

The dryer was design solely on solar power both for the direct and the hybrid therefore the need for PV solar system. For this design, 2 solar panels of 150 watt each connected in series and a 200 Amp was used to power the power-based component parts.


Fig. 2. Orthographic projection of the designed SPHSD

Fig. 3. The developed solar controlled hot-air supplemented dryer

- **The control board/data logger**

The control board is used to control the DC fan speed and the electric filament voltage white the data logger was designed to preset and stored information like temperature, moisture level, relative humidity and air velocity at preset time interval. The control board and data logger are attached to the solar panel stand.

2.2 Modelization

2.2.1 Design calculation for automated hot-air supplemented solar dryer

M_w (kg) is calculated using the following equation according to Tonui, et al. [10].

$$M_w = M_p \frac{(m_i - m_f)}{(100 - m_f)} \qquad (1)$$

Where M_p (kg) is 20 kg of white yam.

2.2.2 Final relative humidity or equilibrium relative humidity

ERH (%) is calculated using sorption isotherms equation given as given by Jithinraj, et al. [11]

$$a_w = 1 - exp[-exp(0.914 + 0.5639 \ln M)] \qquad (2)$$

$$M_w = \frac{m_f}{(100 - m_f)}$$

$$ERH = 100a_w \qquad (3)$$

M (kg_w/kg_s) dry basis

From section 1 for white yam, M_{wy} is 14.54 kg, Final moisture content of white yam is between 12 to 13 percent.

$$a_w = 1 - exp[-\exp(0.914 + 0.5639 \times 2.61)]$$
$$= -exp(1.4718); a_w = 0.881, ERH = 88.1$$

2.2.3 Quantity of air needed for drying

Using a psychometric chat and taking input air temperature of 26ºC (dry bulb) and a relative humidity of 72%, the psychometric gives a humidity ratio of 0.015 kg (H_2O/dry air). Hence 14.54 kg will require 2147.7 volume of air to effect drying. The volume flow rate of air V_a (m^3/h) is calculated according to equation 4:

$$V_a = \frac{w_a}{t_d} \qquad (4)$$

$$V_a = \frac{2147.7}{8} m^3/h = 0.0746 \ m^3/s$$

According to Ichsani and Dyah [12] the mass of air required to remove moisture in the drying process is calculated using equation 5:

$$M_a = \frac{m_w}{\Delta w_{CB} \times n} \qquad (5)$$

The quantity of heat energy required to evaporate the H_2O in the tuber samples is shown in equation 6

$$E = m_a(h_i - h_f)t_d \qquad (6)$$

m_a(kh/hr)= 157.89 kg/hr = 0.436 kg/s
E = 139.498 MJ

5

Initial moisture content of white yam according to Oke, et al., Aduewa, et al. [13,14] when freshly harvested is 72.7% (w.b.).

Total volume of 20 kg of white yam slices at maximum thickness of 1.0 cm is calculated using equation 7 below

$$V = \frac{m}{\rho} \tag{7}$$

Where;

m = 20 kg, ρ = = 1104 kg/m^3

$$V = \frac{20}{1104}$$

$n = \frac{V}{A \times thickness}$, n = 640.07 slices

Total area required to dry 640 slices of white yamwas derived from Equation 8 according to Alamu, et al. [15]

$$A_t = n \times surface\ area\ of\ a\ slice \tag{8}$$

$$A_t = 640(3.142 \times 0.03^2)$$

Equation 9 show the formula for calculating numbers of trays required in the drying chamber

$$Number\ of\ trays = \frac{A_t}{Area\ of\ tray} \tag{9}$$

$$6 = \frac{2.54}{Area\ of\ tray}$$

The dimension of the tray is 0.65 m by 0.65 m

2.2.4 Angle of tilt of the solar collector/air heater

According to Sukhatme, et al., Misha et al. [16,17] the angle of tilt (β) of a solar collector is

$$\beta = 10° + lat\Phi \tag{10}$$

Latitude of Akure, Ondo State where the drying experiment took place was 7.25ºN [18]. Hence, the suitable value of β used for the collector is

$$\beta = 10° + 7.25; \beta = 17.25°$$

2.2.5 Insolation of the collector surface area

$$Insolation = I_c = H_T = H \times R \tag{11}$$

H = 465.9 W/m^2 [19]

$$R = \frac{T_s}{H} \tag{12}$$

$$R = \frac{900.3}{465.9} = 1.94$$

2.2.6 Total solar collector area/dimension

Using the formula according to Alamu, et al. [15]

$$V_a^{'} = V_a \times A_h \times W_c \tag{13}$$

V'$_a$ =0.15 × 0.10 × 0.70 m = 0.105 m^3/s

Mass flow rate of air M$_a$ = V'$_a\rho_a$ [15]

Where, ρ_a= 1.28 kg/m^3; M$_a$ = 0.105 × 1.28; M$_a$ = 0.01344 kg/s

2.2.7 Area of Collector

$$A_c = \frac{M_a \times C_p \times (T_o - T_a)}{0.5 \times I_c} \tag{14}$$

Where;

C$_p$ = 1005 J/kg/K, T$_o$ = 60ºC; Ta = approximately 30ºC, I$_c$max = 903.22 W/m^2
A$_c$ = 0.89 m^2

2.2.8 Determination of the base insulation thickness for the collector

$$FM_aC_p(T_o - T_i) = \frac{A_cK_a(T_o - T_a)}{t_b} \tag{15}$$

Where;

k = 0.04, F = 10% = 0.1, T$_o$ = 60ºC and T$_i$ = T$_a$ = 30ºC

M$_a$ = 0.01344 kg/s

$$t_b = \frac{A_cK_a(T_o - T_a)}{FM_aC_p(T_o - T_i)} \tag{16}$$

$$t_b = \frac{0.89 \times 0.04(60 - 30)}{0.1 \times 0.01344 \times 1005 \times 30}$$

$$= \frac{1.068}{40.53} = 0.026\ m = 2.6\ cm$$

For the design, considering heat loss and heat transfer, the thickness of the insulation was taken as 5 cm.

2.2.9 Determination of heat losses from the solar collector

The total heat transmitted and absorbed is given by Alamu, et al. [15] as

$$U_l = \frac{I_cA_c\tau_\alpha - M_aC_p(\Delta T)}{A_c\Delta T} \tag{17}$$

6

I_c = 903.22 W/m^2; U_l = 8.126 W/m^2°C; Q_l = 216.9 W

The quantity of heat loss from the solar collector is 216.9 W

2.2.10 Pressure drop through the drying chamber

The resistance to the flow of air through a layer of agricultural produce is expressed in the form expressed in equation 18 [20,21]

$$\tilde{U} = a\left(\frac{P_B}{h_L}\right) \qquad (18)$$

H_L = the drying layer thickness (for the slices 10 mm = 0.010)

Note: ΔP_T = 6 × (2 ΔP_B) = 6 × (2 × 0.0084)=1.0123 Pa

2.2.11 Determination of thickness of the absorber plate (δ)

The thickness of the absorber plate was calculated from [22] relationship.

$$k\delta = 0.2 \qquad (19)$$

$$\delta = \frac{0.2}{k}$$

k = 204 W/m/k

$$\delta = \frac{0.2}{204}$$

= 9.8 × 10^{-4} m = 0.98 mm which is approximately equals 1 mm.

The minimum gauge of galvanized metal sheet to be utilized is 1 mm

2.2.12 Height of the hot air column

$$H = \frac{\Delta P_T}{g(\rho_a - \rho^*)} = \frac{\Delta P_T R}{g\left(\frac{1}{T_{abm}} - \frac{1}{T_{dryer}}\right)P_a} \qquad (20)$$

H = 1.53 m

2.2.13 Loss coefficient for the flat plate collector

a. Radiation coefficient from plate to glass cover was evaluated with the equation 21 according to Jithinraj, et al. [11]

$$h_{rpc} = \frac{\sigma \times (T_p^2 + T_c^2) \times (T_p + T_c)}{\left(\left(\frac{1}{E_p}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{E_c}\right) - 1\right)} \qquad (21)$$

h$_{rpc}$ = 7.879 Wm^{-2}K^{-1}

b. Radiation coefficient from cover to surface was calculate out according to the experimental work of [23,11] as expressed in equation 22.

$$h_{rcs} = \epsilon_c \times \sigma \times (T_c^2 + T_s^2) \times (T_c + T_s) \qquad (22)$$

h$_{rcs}$ = 6.389 Wm^{-2}K^{-1}

c. Convection coefficient between plate and cover

h$_{pc}$ = 3.06 Wm^{-2}K^{-1}

2.2.14 Convective heat transfer coefficient for air blowing over the cover

The heat loss from the glass cover to the surroundings must be the same, in the steady state, as the heat loss from the black plate to the glass cover, heat loss from the glass cover is computed with equation 23 as illustrated by Jithinraj, et al. [11]

$$q_{ca} = h_w = h_{cs} \cdot (T_c - T_s) + \Sigma_c \cdot T_c^4 - \Sigma_c L \qquad (23)$$

h$_w$ = h$_{ca}$ = 2.8 + 3.0V W/m^{2}K

Where; V is the wind speed in meter per seconds
h$_{ca}$ = 2.8 + 3.0V

average wind speed of Akure is 1.388 m/s
h$_{ca}$ = h$_w$ = 2.8 + 3.0(1.388), h$_w$ = 44.4 W/m^{2}K

Top loss coefficient, was derived with the equation 24 according to Jithinraj, et al. [11]

$$U_T = \frac{1}{\left[\frac{1}{h_{rpc} + h_{pc}} + \frac{1}{h_w + h_{rcs}}\right]} \qquad (24)$$

U$_T$ = 9.00 W/m^{2}K

2.2.15 Bottom loss coefficient

Equation 25 according to Jithinraj, et al. [11] was used to calculate the bottom loss coefficient

$$U_b = \frac{k_i}{x_i} \qquad (25)$$

U$_b$ = 0.8 W/m^{2}K

2.2.16 Bottom loss coefficient at the edge

A well-designed collector is also insulated at the edge and the loss coefficient was calculated with equation 26.

$$R_{p-e} >> R_{e-a} \rightarrow (UA)edge \cong \frac{k}{L_{edge}} A_e \quad (26)$$

$$q_{loss,edge} = U_b(T_p - T_a)$$

U_e = 0.675 W/m²K

2.2.17 To calculate the total loss,

$$U_{total} = U_t + U_b + U_e \quad (27)$$

U_{total} = 10.45 W/m²K

2.2.18 Total solar radiation energy calculation

The solar radiation energy on the collector area is equal to the absorbed heat energy in it. According to Duffie, et al. [24] as stated in Equation 28

$$\Sigma I_t A_c = \Sigma \frac{Q_{co}}{E_c} \quad (28)$$

= 6.59065 kJ

2.2.19 Design Consideration for the Heater

$$Q = MC_p \Delta T \quad (29)$$

Where;

M = (14.54 kg); C_p = (4.182 kJ/kg/K)
ΔT = (70 − 28)°C
$Q = 14.54 \times 4.182 \times (70 - 28)$
14.54 × 4.182 × 42
2553.86 kJ

$$power\ rating = \frac{quantity\ of\ heat}{time}\ 88\ Watt$$

2.2.20 Design consideration for the fan

Area of drying × Airflow = Airflow rate in the cabinet

$$m_v = m_a \times v_s \quad (30)$$

v_s in m³/kg =1.087 from psychometric chart.
m_v = 0.47 m³/s

2.2.21 Fan Electric Energy Calculation for Hot-air Section

According to Bleier, et al. [25], fan electric energy is equal to

$$E_f = \frac{P_w \cdot t}{E_E \cdot E_m} \quad (31)$$

Power of outlet air from fan according to Akissoe, et al. [26] is

$$P_w = 9.81Q \cdot T_p \quad (32)$$

Where T_p = Total Pressure (mmWC)
Q = Air flow (m³s⁻¹)

$$\text{Total pressure } T_p = S_p + V_p \quad (33)$$

Velocity pressure is calculated from [25]

$$V_p = 0.051\rho \cdot V_1^2$$

$$S_p = \left[\left(\frac{2.22L_o \cdot V_1^2}{\ln(1+0.116V_1)}\right) + \left(\frac{3.72\times10^{-6} \cdot P.n.V_1^2}{RT_3}\right)\right] \quad (34)$$

Inserting Equation 32 to 34 into Equation 35 fan electric energy would be equal to

$$E_f = \left[\frac{9.81t \cdot V_1 A_f}{1000 \cdot E_E \cdot E_m}\right]\left[S_p + 0.051\frac{P \cdot n \cdot V_1^2}{R \cdot T_2}\right] \quad (35)$$

To calculate S_p,

$$\left[\frac{2.22 \times 0.01 \times 1.0^2}{\ln(1 + 0.116 \cdot 1.0)}\right]$$
$$+ \left[\frac{3.72 \times 10^{-6} \cdot 97.02 \times 385.26 \times 1.0^2}{8.134.4 \times 313}\right]$$

S_p = 9.81 (mmWC)
E_f = 37.39 × 147.460, = 5514.02 Js⁻¹ = 95.729 watt

2.2.22 Fan electric energy calculation for solar collector

The fan electric energy according to Equation 35

$$E_f = \left[\frac{9.81t \cdot V_1 A_f}{1000 \cdot E_E \cdot E_m}\right]\left[S_p + 0.051\frac{P \cdot n \cdot V_1^2}{R \cdot T_2}\right]$$

A_f = 0.020

= 1508.11 kJ/s = 52.360 Watt

2.2.23 Solar panel and battery calculation

TotalWatts per Hour (DC) = 236.04 Watts

The solar drying system is expected to run for 8 hours out of 24 hours in a day, therefore watt-hours per day = total daily usage × hours = 1888.32 watt-hrs/day

2.2.24 Amp-hour calculation

Note: total watt daily requirements = 1888.32 watt-hrs/day

8

Corrected for battery losses (assume static average loss) = 1.926.086 watt-hrs/day

System voltage DC voltage only 24 v

Amp-hours per day = Watts divided by volts 80.254 Amp-Hrs/day

2.2.25 Battery bank calculation

Number of days' backup power required (average 24 hours' period) = 2 days

Amp-hour storage (raw capacity needed) 160.5072 Amp-Hrs

Depth of discharge (Assume 50%) 0.5 fraction

Required amp backup (also ensure excessive discharge is prevented = 321.0144 Amp-hrs

Battery amps rating (20 hrs) (Battery capacity in Amps) 100 Amps

Actual numbers of batteries wired in parallel raw number = 3.21

Batteries wired in series related to system voltage 2.00 Amp

Rounded number of batteries round up = 2 batteries

Solar panel array calculation

Sun hours per day (Direct only) = 4 (worst situation condition)

Worst weather multiplier 1.55 default (constant).

Total sun hours per day (assumes average sun = 2.581 Amp-hrs

Panel size selection based on watt rating (watt hour rating) = 250 watts

Nominal panel voltage Approximately solar output = 16 volts

Amps required from solar panel watts divided by volts = 15.625 Amps

Number of solar panels in parallel = 1.990

Number of panels in series (12 v) = 2

Rounded number of solar panels = 4 panels

The essence of the component design is to ascertain the quantity of energy required to optimum operation and to be able to make categorical statement about the energy economy and sustainability of energy in the system.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Machine Test Procedure

The developed machine was tested using white yam slices. Drying experiment was conducted using the dryer without supplemented hot-air and also with supplemented hot-air both in automated form. The southern azimuth of the testing location (Federal University of Technology Akure) was located using a GPS, after which the solar panel and the dryer were positioned according to the direction from the GPS in order to obtain maximum insolation during the experimentation.

For the two experimental processes, the yam after peeling was washed and sliced and shaped 30 mm square and 2 mm thickness using a locally designed cutter. 250 g of the shaped yam slices was weighed and used for each run of experiment after blanching by soaking in hot water of 80ºC for a period of 3 minutes [26] using a using an electronic precision scale (KD-TBE-1200) with a sensitivity of 0.01 g and maximum load of 1200 g. After this, the blanched yam slices were drained using a plastic sieve and then placed in the drying tray of the dryer in single layer.

The dryer is always prepared at least 30 minutes to the commencement of each experimental run to allow thermal balance in the chamber. The probe of the moisture meter was placed inside the yam slices gently and other sensors were positioned as necessary prior to the commencement of the drying process. For the drying experiment without supplemented hot-air there was not external influence of heat or air. For the experiment with supplemented hot-air, the temperature in the drying chamber was present from the data logger to 50ºC, the heater and the fans were allowed to run on the PV solar system at 0.8 m/s^2 before the yam is placed on the tray for drying process. After the experimental run for each day, data is downloaded from the data logger via the SD card for analysis. Recorded data during the drying process are moisture content, product temperature, working temperature (temperature inside the drying chamber), drying chamber

relative humidity, solar collector inlet and outlet temperature. Ambient temperature, relative humidity and solar insolation data was collected from the University meteorological station. The result of the study shows that there were tremendous improvements recorded in terms of drying time when hot-air supplement was used compared to when hot air supplement was not. Likewise, a complete thermal stability was experienced when hybrid system was used giving a better drying result.

3.2 Machine Testing

3.2.1 Variation of drying parameters with weather condition at no-load

The developed SPHSD was tested at no load condition in order to evaluate the effect of incorporating heater and control system into the dryer. The dryer was tested under two conditions firstly indirect solar drying and secondly solar powered hot-air supplemented drying. During the indirect solar drying, fluctuation of drying temperature was experienced in the drying chamber throughout the test period with maximum temperature of 49.87ºC at 1437 hrs after 325 minutes of drying experiment. Between 0904 hrs and 1219hrs, the drying chamber temperature was between 24.87ºC and 44.13ºC and also at 1546hrs and 1652 hrs the temperature in the drying chamber was between

43.65ºC and 39.45ºC leaving the system at a drying limit below 45ºC.

A no load test was also carried out for SPHSD at a preset drying chamber temperature of 50ºC and it was observed that there was relative stability of temperature in the drying chamber throughout the drying period which lasted for 481 minutes. At the start of the test experiment, between 0904 hrs and 0930 hrs, the temperature increased from 35.51ºC to 45.01ºC after which the temperature in the drying chamber was relatively at 50ºC throughout the entire test period. Figs. 4 and 5 shows the variability of weather condition during the no load test for indirect solar drying and SPHSD respectively. Similar trends were reported by Akissoe et al. Adelaja, et al. [26,27] during the experimental testing of combined solar and mechanical dryer and [6] during the experimental testing of hot-air supplemented solar dryer for white yam.

3.2.2 Variation of drying parameters with weather condition at load

Fig. 6 shows a graphical representation of result from loaded laboratory experimental test where white yam slice of 3 mm was used to determine the moisture content of yam with respect to other drying parameters using indirect solar dryer. Drying experiment was conducted for 481 minutes (between 0910 hrs to 1713 hrs) reducing the moisture content from 71.91%, 72.1% and


**Fig. 4. Variability of weather condition during no-load test for indirect solar dryer**

Fig. 5. Variability of weather condition during no-load test for SPHSD at 50 °C


Fig. 6. Variability of weather condition, moisture content with respect to time during load test for indirect solar dryer

72.8% to 27.95%, 25.78% and 28.23% for MC_1, MC_2 and MC_3 respectively. The environmental weather conditions affected the drying process and the ambient temperature reduced below 30ºC with an increase in relative humidity. The amount of moisture removed was low with low drying rate compared to experiment carried out with the SPHSD and this was due to the effect of fluctuating ambient weather condition on drying rate of indirect solar dryer.

Fig. 7 shows a graphical representation of result from loaded laboratory experimental test where white yam slice of 7 mm was used to determine the effect of supplemented drying system on moisture content of white yam at 50ºC with respect to other drying parameters. There was temperature build up in the drying chamber for the first 40 minutes before the pre-set CT_2 value was attained at 1006 hrs. Between 2008 hrs to 2257 hrs there was a fall of about 3ºC from the pre-set temperature value in the CT_2 value.

Fig. 7. Variability of weather condition, moisture content with respect to time during load test for SPHSD at 50°C

Drying experiment was conducted for 832 minutes (between 0901 hrs to 2257 hrs) reducing the moisture content from initial moisture content levels of 72.66%, 71.48% and 71.48% to 13.47%, 12.53% and 12.54% for MC_1, MC_2 and MC_3 respectively. The incorporated DC heating system enabled continuous drying even when the temperature is relatively low with high relative humidity during the nigh when there was extremely poor solar insolation.

4. CONCLUSION

The main drudgery militating the used on solar dryer during harsh weather condition and night period is environmental factors and electricity instability. Time taken in drying agricultural materials during harsh weather condition and in drying commodities with high moisture content take so long leading to poor product and spoilage in some situation. Solar dryer with the aid of solar power with DC heater and fan was developed and tested to remove the drudgery in order to aid fast drying rate and eradicate bacterial growth. SPHSD was observed to give a faster drying rate and stability compared with indirect solar dryer. The effect was established when tested with white yam slices. For indirect solar dryer, 3 mm white yam was dried for 481 minutes (between 0910 hrs to 1713 hrs) reducing the moisture from 71.91, 72.1 and 72.8 to 27.95, 25.78 and 28.23% for MC_1, MC_2 and MC_3 respectively where 832 minutes (between 0901 hrs to 2257 hrs) reduced the moisture content of white yam slices of 7 mm

thickness from initial moisture content levels of 72.66, 71.48 and 71.48% to 13.47, 12.53 and 12.54% for MC_1, MC_2 and MC_3 respectively in SPHSD.

The cost of producing the dryer is ₦ 350,000.00 (Three hundred and fifty thousand Naira which is cost effective and economical when long term effect analysis is considered. The dryer is available for public usage and can be easily operated by an average rural dweller based on simplicity of operation and repairs.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1.   Muhlbauer W, Esper A. Solar tunnel dryer. Plant Res. and development. 1995;44(4): 16-64.
2.   Velmurugan V, Mugundhan K, Srithar K. Experimental studies on solar stills integrated with a mini solar pond. Proceedings of the 3rd BSME-ASME International Conference on Thermal Engineering. 2016;109-116.
3.   Ibitoye FI, Adenikinju A. Future demand for electricity in Nigeria. Applied Energy, Elsevier. 2007;84(5):492-504.
4.   Krokida MK, Tsami E, Maroulis ZB. Kinetics on color changes during drying of

some fruits and vegetables. Drying Technol. 1998;16(3-5):667-685.

5. Reyes A, Mahn A, Huenulaf P, González T. Tomato dehydration in a hybrid-solar dryer. Journal Chemical Engineering Process Technology. 2014;5(4):1-8.

6. Bhuiyan MHR, Alam MM, Islam MN. The construction and testing of a combined solar and mechanical cabinet dryer. J. Environ. Sci. & Natural Resources. 2011;4(2):35-40.

7. Boughali S, Bouchekima B, Mennouche D, Bouguettaia H, Bechki D, Moussa H. Crop drying by indirect active hybrid solar - Electrical dryer in the eastern Algerian Septentrional Sahara. Solar Energy. 2009;83(12):57-62.

8. Yahya M, Sopian K, Wan Daud W, Othman M, Yatim B. Performance of a solar assisted dehumidification system for *Centella asiatica* L. Proceedings of the 8th WSEAS International Conference on Power Systems. 2008;23-25.

9. Purkayastha MD, Nath A, Deka BC, Mahanta CL. Thin layer drying of tomato slices. J Food Sci Technology. 2013;50(4): 642-653.

10. Tonui KS, Mutai EBK, Mutuli DA, Mbuge DO, Too KV. Design and evaluation of solar grain dryer with a back-up heater. Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Tech. 2014;7(15):3036-3043).

11. Jithinraj T, Aftab AK. Experimental analysis on multi pass flat plate collector solar air dryer. International Journal of Emerging Engineering Research and Tech. 2014;2(7):1-11.

12. Ichsani, Dyah. Design and experimental testing of solar dryer combined with kerosene stoves to dry fish. ASAE Annual meeting, Chicago 028024; 2003.

13. Oke MO, Awonorin SO, Oyelade OJ, Olajide JO, Olaniyan GO, Sobukola PO. Some thermo-physical properties of yam cuts of two Geometries. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2009;8(7):1300-1304.

14. Aduewa TO, Oyerinde AS, Olalusi AP, Ogunlowo AS. Performance evaluation of hot-air supplemented solar dryer for white yam (*Dioscorea rotundata)* slices. In Proceeding of the Annual Conference of the School of Engineering and Engineering Technology, FUT, Akure. 2016;190–201.

15. Alamu OJ, Nwachucha CN, Adunola O. Design and construction of a domestic passive solar food dryer. Leonardo Journal of Sciences. 2011;71-82.

16. Sukhatme SP. Solar-energy-principles of thermal collection and storage, 1st Ed., Tata McGraw Hill Publishing Company Limited; 1996.

17. Misha S, Rosli MAM, Sopian K, Mat S, Sulaiman MY, Salleh E. Parametric analysis of heat removal factor for a flat plate solar collector of serpentine tube. World Applied Sciences Journal. 2014;29(2):184-187.

18. Adaramola MS. Estimating global solar radiation using common meteorological data in Akure. Renewable Energy. 2002;47:38-44.

19. Akachukwu BE. Prediction of optimum angle of inclination for flat plate solar collector in Zaria, Nigeria. Agricultural Engineering International: CIGR Journal. 2011;13(4):1-11.

20. Jindal VK, Gunasekaran S. Estimating air flow and drying rate due to natural convection in solar rice dryers. Renewable Energy Review. 1982;4(2): 1-9.

21. Forson FK, Nazha MAA, Akuffo FO, Rajakaruna H. Design of mixed-mode natural convection solar crop dryers: Application of principles and rules of thumb. Renewable Energy. 2007;32(14): 2306–19.

22. Amer BMA, Hossain MA, Gottschalk K. Design and performance evaluation of a new hybrid solar dryer for banana. Energy Conversion and Management. 2010;51: 813-820.

23. Tyagia V, Pandey AK, Giridhar G, Bandyopadhyay B. Comparative study based on exergy analysis of solar air heater collector using thermal energy storage. International Journal of Energy Research. 2012;36(6):724-736.

24. Duffie JA, Beckman WA. Solar Engineering of Thermal Processes, 2nd Ed., John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York; 1991.

25. Bleier FP. Fan handbook: Selection, application and design. New York: McGraw-Hill. 18th Ed; 1998.

26. Akissoe NH, Hounhouigan DJ, Mestres C, Nago GM. How blanching and drying affect the colour and functional characteristics of Yam (*Dioscorea cayenensis-rotundata*) Flour. Food Chem. 2003;82:257-264.

27. Adelaja AO, Asemota OS, Oshiafi IK. Experimental determination of the moisture content pattern in yam during drying, Journal of Applied Sciences Research. Insinet Publication. 2010;6(8):1171-1181.