

Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International

23(3): 1-7, 2018; Article no.JPRI.41616

ISSN: 2456-9119

(Past name: British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research, Past ISSN: 2231-2919,

NLM ID: 101631759)

Microbiological Evaluation and Antibiotic Susceptibility Patterns of Organisms Isolated from Frozen Chicken Products Sold in Port Harcourt, Nigeria

Catheirine N. Stanley^{1*} and Victoria O. Arueyingho¹

¹Department of Pharmaceutical Microbiology and Biotechnology, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Author CNS designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol and the first draft of the manuscript. Author VOA managed the analyses of the study and the literature searches. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JPRI/2018/41616

Editor(s):

(1) Rahul S. Khupse, Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Findlay,

USA

Reviewers.

(1) F. M. Mshelbwala, Federal University of Agriculture, Nigeria.

(2) Derick Malavi, International Potato Centre, SSA, Kenya.

Complete Peer review History: http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/25869

Original Research Article

Received 2nd April 2018 Accepted 6th June 2018 Published 13th August 2018

ABSTRACT

Frozen chicken products contribute a large proportion of the protein needs of the average Nigerian family. Although chicken products are safe when frozen continuously and properly stored, they are easily prone to microbial contamination. However, the majority of the frozen chicken products sold in open markets are smuggled into the country in very unhygienic circumstances that make them susceptible to microbial contamination thus compromising their quality. This study, therefore, evaluated the microbiological quality of frozen chicken parts sold in Port Harcourt metropolis, Rivers State, Nigeria. The objective was to isolate the microorganism(s) if any, present in the frozen chicken samples, characterize and identify them and then determine the antibiotic susceptibility profiles of the isolates. A total of two hundred chicken samples were collected from different pppmarkets and evaluated for microbial contamination using standard microbiological

methods. A total of eleven isolates were obtained as follows: *Escherichia coli* (27.82%), *Salmonella sp* (13.64%), *Shigella sp* (4.88%), *Staphylococcus aureus* (18.52%), *Staphylococcus species* (2.92%), *Bacillus subtilis* (17.83%), *Enterobacter species* (4.15%), *Micrococcus sp* (1.61%), *Klebsiella sp* (1.84%), *Proteus sp* (3.07%) and *Citrobacter species* (3.65%). The microbial load which ranged from 1.4 - 2.4 x 10^2 CFU/g exceeded the acceptable limit for poultry products which falls within the range of 10^1 - 10^2 CFU/g. HaveAntibiotic susceptibility profiles of the isolates were also determined using four antibiotics namely amoxicillin/clavulanate (20 µg), gentamicin (10 µg), ciprofloxacin (5 µg) and erythromycin (5 µg). Some of the isolates were resistant to these antibiotics. The unacceptable level of contamination seen in the frozen chicken parts and the non-susceptibility of many of the isolates to the commonly available antibiotics pose serious hazards to the health of the consuming public. The regulatory authorities and all agencies of government charged with safeguarding the public health need to live up to their responsibilities. Strict controls with respect to adequate and appropriate storage conditions, good sanitary practices and awareness programs should be implemented to encourage the provision of safe poultry products and minimize the emergence of antibiotic resistant organisms.

Keywords: Frozen chicken; standard limit; antibiotic susceptibility and open market.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fresh poultry products are known to be prone to deterioration due to microbial action as well as physical and chemical changes. In normal handling and storage of poultry products, these deteriorating changes are attributed microbiological activity. Like all fresh (uncooked) foods chicken carries natural microflora that may contain potentially harmful organisms to humans [1-4]. The type of organisms isolated depend on where the samples are taken from and on the stage of processing [5]. Both poultry muscle and skin are excellent substrates that support the growth of a wide variety of microorganisms [6]. Common pathogens that contaminate poultry meat include Salmonella enteritidis. Staphylococcus aureus, Campylobacter jejuni, Listeria monocytogens and Escherichia coli amongst others [1,7-8]. Salmonella infection, for example, can lead to typhoid fever, food poisoning, gastroenteritis and enteric fever. Contaminated water or food particularly meat, poultry and eggs have been implicated in salmonellosis resulting in symptoms such as abdominal cramps, diarrhea and vomiting [9]. Improper handling or contamination during meal preparation could result in food borne disease or illness. However, sanitary food handling, proper thermal cooking and refrigeration as well good hand washing habits and hygiene help to prevent such foodborne illnesses. According to the FSIS USDA [1] chicken or meat kept frozen continuously can be used and are safe indefinitely but certain pathogens such as salmonella and listeria species are not permitted in ready -to -eat products requiring no further cooking [1]. Imported frozen chicken

consumed on a large scale in Nigeria. It is estimated that over 70% of the country's poultry needs is imported, sotme of them smuggled into the country in very unhygienic circumstances [10]. Improper storage and preservation due to inadequate power supply have also compromised the quality of the locally produced poultry products. Seizure and destruction of imported poultry products as a deterrent to their importers by the Immigrations Services is yet to fully achieve the desired objective. Besides the high frequency of consumption of imported frozen chicken and its attendant negative impact on local poultry production, there are claims that they are preserved with chemicals that predispose consumers in the long run to serious ailments such as cancer [11-13]. The use of antimicrobial agents in poultry and animal husbandry has also been established to contribute to the problem of antimicrobial resistance. There is thus a possibility of increased risk of infection and change in the susceptibilities of the pathogens that contaminate these products and which could adversely affect the lives of consumers [3,6,9]. According to the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), over a million people suffer from salmonella infections in the United States of America annually resulting in nearly 20,000.00 hospitalizations and 380 deaths [10]. Although similar statistics regarding the number of people affected by infections due to food and poultry borne pathogens may not be readily available here, the quality of some of the poultry products being displayed for sale in our markets clearly falls short of the acceptable standards [14]. This study therefore set out to isolate, evaluate, characterize and identify the different

microorganisms that may be present in frozen chicken and to determine their susceptibilities to commonly available antimicrobial agents. This will help to determine the impact of this massive consumption of frozen chicken products on the problem of antimicrobial resistance and public health in general.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Reagents

Peptone water, 1% tetramethyl pphenylenediamine dihydrochloride, crystal violet, safranin red, iodine, hydrogen peroxide and Kovac's reagent.

2.2 Culture Media

Macconkey agar, cetrimide agar, salmonellashigella agar, nutrient agar, nutrient broth, mannitol salt agar, Mueller-Hinton agar and Sabouraud dextrose agar.

2.3 Sample Collection

A total of 50 samples were collected from the markets in each location making a grand total of 200 samples of frozen chicken parts collected from the different locations listed below.

Location A: Rumuomoi, Obiwali and Nkpolu market.

Location B: Rumuokoro and Choba market. **Location C:** Mile 1 and Mile 3 open markets

Location D: Oil mill market

A total of fifty samples were randomly collected from each of the markets visited. The samples were collected in small batches of ten to twenty samples and quickly taken to the laboratory for processing on each day of sampling.

The chicken parts were aseptically collected with sterile gloves. They were wrapped in sterile foils, placed in a cooler containing ice to maintain the temperature and immediately transported to the laboratory. 10g of each of the various chicken parts were weighed (sensitive weighing balance, HCK, Dispel, India) and placed in 10 ml of 0.1% peptone water (Titan Biotech, 43955-1.Bhiwadi India, Exp Date, 7/19). This was afterwards shaken with the aid of a mechanical shaker for 15 minutes. Serial dilutions of the slurry obtained were subsequently made in sterile universal bottles containing 9 ml of sterile 0.1% peptone water up to dilution [7].

2.4 Culture Methods

From each appropriate dilution, 0.1ml was inoculated onto nutrient agar (Titan Biotech, M4D2AP01, Bhiwadi India, 12/18) MacConkey agar (Titan Biotech, 71863-1, Bhiwadi India, 12/18) to be used for the enumeration of total bacteria isolates and coliform bacteria respectively and both were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Sabouraud Dextrose Agar (Lab M, Uk,3/19) was also inoculated with 0.1 ml of the diluted slurry to be used for the enumeration of yeast isolates in the samples. The plates were incubated at 25°C for 5-7 days [8]. Colonies from the incubated MacConkey agar and nutrient agar plates were picked and sub cultured onto salmonella-shigella agar, cetrimide and mannitol salt agar plates and the plates were then incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. The resultant colonies were observed and characterized. All microorganisms once isolated were preserved in agar slants and kept in the incubator at 37°C.

2.5 Morphological and Biochemical Tests

Several biochemical tests including Gram staining, indole test, catalase test, oxidase test and coagulase test were carried out [15].

2.6 Antibiotic Susceptibility Test

Antibiotic susceptibility tests were carried using the modified Kirby- Bauer method [16].

Mueller-Hinton agar was prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Immediately after autoclaving it was allowed to cool in a water bath, before being poured into flat-bottomed Petri dishes on a level horizontal surface. The agar medium was then allowed to cool to room temperature and stored in a refrigerator.

Pure colonies were selected from an agar plate and transferred into a tube containing 5 ml of nutrient broth (Lab M, 134472/241, Uk, 12/18) The nutrient broth was then incubated until it achieved the turbidity of the McFarland standard solution.

2.7 Inoculation of Test Plates

A sterile swab was dipped into the inoculum suspensions and then streaked on the dried

surface of the Mueller-Hinton agar plate. The surface was streaked two more times rotating the plate approximately 60° each time to ensure an even distribution of the inoculum. Antibiotic sensitivity discs containing different antibiotics were gently placed on the inoculated Mueller-Hinton agar plates and allowed to stand for ten minutes to allow for diffusion. Each disc was pressed down to ensure complete contact with the agar surface. The plates were then incubated in an inverted position at 35°C [17]. After incubation, the zones of inhibition of susceptible organisms were observed and compared with the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines for sensitivity [1].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The isolates were identified based on their morphological and biochemical characteristics as shown in Table 1. The aerobic mesophilic count (total plate count) from each location was determined after subculturing under optimum conditions. For each location, the average total

number of microbial isolates were calculated per serial dilution and tabulated. The total plate count for each location was more than the coliform count and the cell count reduced as the serial dilution increased as shown in Table 2.

The percentage of each microorganism in the entire population was calculated, based on the results in Table 2 which show the average cell count of each microorganism per location. The percentages were as follows: Escherichia coli (27.82%), salmonella sp (13.64%), Shigella sp (4.88%), Staphylococcus aureus (18.52%), Staphylococcus sp (2.92%), Bacillus subtilis (17.83%), Enterobacter sp (4.15%), Micrococcus sp (1.61%), Klebsiella sp (1.84%), Proteus sp (3.07%) and Citrobacter sp (3.65%).

The susceptibility and resistance patterns of the microorganisms to the antibiotics were noted after the diffusion test was carried out. From the Table 3, it could be observed that different antibiotics had different actions on the microorganisms. Staphylococcus aureus was

Table 1. Morphological and Biochemical Characteristics of Isolates from the frozen chicken parts

Colony morphology	Cell character	Gram staining	Indole test	Catalase test	Oxidase test	Probable identity	Locations
Mucoid	Short rod	-	+	+	-	Escherichia coli	A, B, C
Large white mucoids	Rods arranged in chains.	+	-	+	+	Bacillus subtilis	A, B, C And D
Yellow, small and irregular	Cocci	+	-	+	-	Staphylococcus aureus	A, B, C And D
Small white mucoid	Rods	_	+	+	-	Proteus sp	A, B
Large white and mucoid on nutrient agar, black centres observed in selective media	Rods	-	-	+	-	Salmonella sp	A, B, C And D
Pale almost translucent colonies	Rods	-	-	+	-	Shigella sp	B, C, D
Small and raised	Rods	-	-	+	-	Klebsiella sp	A, B
Pale pink colonies	Rod	-	-	+	-	Enterobacter	A, C and D
Light red small colonies	Cocci	+	-	+	-	Micrococcus sp	AC
Moist, low, smooth and translucent	Rod	-	-	+	-	Citrobacter sp	B, C and D
Small white colonies	Cocci	+	-	+	-	Staphylococcus sp	A, C and D

Table 2. Average cell count of each Microorganism per Location

Microorganism	Location A (Average cell count)	Location B (Average cell count)	Location C (Average cell count)	Location D (Average cell count)	Total average cell count in all locations per microorganism
Escherichia coli	150	180	200	195	725
Salmonella sp	80	60	95	120	355
Shigella sp	-	0	35	72	127
Staphylococcus aureus	102	98	122	160	482
Staphylococcus sp	28	-	36	12	76
Bacillus subtilis	68	44	202	150	464
Enterobacter sp	22	-	36	50	108
Micrococcus sp	14	-	28	-	42
Klebsiella sp	12	36	-	-	48
Proteus sp	20	60	-	-	80
Citrobacter sp	-	-	50	45	95 Total= 2602

Table 3. Antibiotic susceptibility test results showing zones of inhibition for the antibiotics

Organism	Escherichia coli	Staphylococcus aureus
Antibiotics	IZD (mm)	IZD (mm)
Amoxicillin/clavulanate	18	18
Erythromycin		20
Gentamicin	22	30
Ciprofloxacin	30	24

Table 4. Antibiotic susceptibility of isolated pathogens

Microorganism	Amoxicillin/Clavulanate	Erythromycin	Gentamicin	Ciprofloxacin
Bacillus subtilis	S	S	R	S
Staphylococcus aureus	R	R	R	R
Staphylococcus sp	S	S	R	S
Citrobacter sp	R	S	S	S
Enterobacter sp	R	R	S	S
Escherichia coli	R	R	S	S
Micrococcus sp	S	R	S	R
Salmonella sp	S	R	S	S
Shigella sp	S	S	S	S
Proteus sp	R	S	R	S
Klebsiella sp	R	S	S	S

S= Susceptible; I= Intermediate; R = Resistance

completely resistant to all antibiotics and *Klebsiella sp* was susceptible to all antibiotics used [18].

A total of 11 bacteria species from the various frozen chicken parts examined were isolated four of which were Gram-positive and seven Gramnegative. Some of these organisms have been implicated in diarrheal and gastro intestinal diseases in both adults and children. This is in agreement with the findings of other studies [7, 19-21]. *Escherichia coli* was present in the

samples collected from the various locations. Location C had the highest number of *Escherichia coli* isolated, while location A had the least number as seen in Table 2. Presence of *E. coli* may be linked to faecal contamination. In this study, *E. coli* was more prevalent than other organisms. This is in contrast to another study which found *Staphylococcus aureus* to be predominant [22]. However, the findings of our study appear to be in agreement with theirs with respect to the Gram-negative isolates being more that the Gram-positive ones.

Citrobacter species were not isolated from the frozen chicken samples analyzed from the first two locations. Compared to Escherichia coli, salmonella, Staphylococcus aureus, Bacillus subtilis. other staphylococcal species, Enterobacter, Micrococcus, Klebsiella, Proteus and Citrobacter were isolated but in minute amounts. The limit of microorganisms permissible in poultry products falls within 10¹ -10² CFU/g as recommended by International Microbiological Standards for ready - to - eat poultry products [6,23]. From the results of our study, the microbial load in raw poultry ranged between 1.4 x10³ and 2.4x 10³CFU/g. Although it may be argued that the microbial load could be reduced upon cooking and fall within acceptable limits, this may not necessarily be so and these values are therefore microbiologically unacceptable. Chicken is also used in preparing the local roasted meat known as "suya" and some parts of the meat may not be properly roasted and thus may harbour microorganisms In general, the risk of foodborne illness may be reduced by applying the principles of Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points (HACCP) [15]. It is a preventive food safety system in which every step in the manufacture, storage and distribution of a food product is scientifically analyzed for microbiological, physical and chemical hazards.

4. CONCLUSION

The findings of our study revealed the presence of dangerous pathogens such as Salmonella, Shigella, E. coli and S. aureus some of which are not permitted to be present in fresh products such as chicken. They have been implicated in various food borne diseases and diarrheal illnesses. In order to safeguard public health, public enlightenment should be sustained to create awareness on the proper cooking, packaging and storage of poultry products. The illegal importation of poultry products should be curbed by the creation of right policies and implementation of existing laws. Adequate provision of power is also advocated to enable local livestock producers to preserve their products at appropriate temperatures and prevent spoilage due to microbial contamination. In conclusion, frozen chicken products sold in Port Harcourt were contaminated by different bacteria beyond acceptable load. The bacteria isolates were resistant to some of the antibiotics tested.

CONSENT

It is not applicable.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

It is not applicable.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- United States Department of Agriculture, Food Safety and Inspection Service. Protecting Public Health and Preventing Foodborne Disease; 2014.
- Khalafalla FA, Abdel-Atty NS, Abdel-Wanis SA, Hanafy AS. Food poisoning microorganisms in chicken broiler meat. Global Veterinaria. 2010;14(2):211-218.
- 3. Mody RK, Griffin PM. Food borne disease. In: Bennett, J.E, Dolin, R., and Blaser, M.j. eds. Mandell, Douglas and Bennett's Principles and Practice of Infectious Diseases. 8th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier Saunders: Chap 103; 2015.
- Osaili TM, Alaboudi AR, Nesiar EA. Prevalence of *Listeria* spp. and antibiotic susceptibility of *Listeria monocytogenes* isolated from raw chicken and ready-to-eat chicken products in Jordan. Food Control. 2011;22(3):586-59.
- Darshana B, Bhaisare D, Thyagarajan R, Richard C, Punniamurthy N. International Journal of Life Sciences Research. 2014; 2(3):1-7.
- 6. Frazier WC, Westhoff DC. Food microbiology (4th edition) McGraw Hill Book Company, Singapore. 1988;43(3):167-174.
- Odetunde SK, Lawal AK, Akolade MA, Bak'ry SB. Microbial flora of frozen chicken part varieties. International Research Journal of Microbiology. 2011;2(11):423-427.
- Al-Ruthwani EK, Shareef AM, Fang RA. Evaluation of bacterial load of frozen chicken thighs in Mosul markets. Iraqi Journal of Veterinary Sciences. 2012;26: 63-69

- Nordqvist C. Salmonella: Symptoms, causes and treatment – Everything you need to know about Salmonella. Medical News Today; 2017. Available: https://www.medicalnewstoday.c om
- Okon A. 70% of Frozen Chicken Consumed in Nigeria is smuggled. The Punch Newspapers; 2017.
- Obadime O. Nafdac Warns Against Consumption of Imported Chikcen, Turkey; 2015.
 Available:https://www.guardian.ng
- 12. Martins I. Nigeria: Imported Frozen Poultry Products a Silent Killer? 2016. Available:https://www.thisdaylive.com
- Obinna C. Beware: Imported Frozen Poultry Can Kill – Experts; 2016. Available:https://www.vanguardngr.com
- United States Department of Health and Human Services Center for Disease Control and Prevention. Chicken Entrees: The Raw Story. Available: www.cdc.gov/foodsafety
- Cheesbrough M. District Laboratory Practice in Tropical Countries, Part 2 2ND Edition Update. Cambridge University Press, Cape Town. 2010;62-70.
- Reller LB, Weinstein M, Jorgensen JH, Ferraro MJ. Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing: A review of General Principles and Contemporary Practices. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2009;49(11):1749–1755.
- 17. Lalitha MK. Manual on Antimicrobial Susceptibility testing: Under the auspices

- of Indian Association of Medical Microbiologists. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Testing: Twelfth Informational Supplement. 2008;56238: 454-456.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Performance Standards for antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. Nineteenth Informational Supplement M100-S19, Wayne, PA Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute: 2009.
- Bryan FL, Phithakpol B. Phase II: Food Handling, Hazard analysis critical point evaluations of foods prepared in Households in a rice farming village in Thailand, FAU, Rome; 1986.
- 20. Van D, Steenberger WN, Nossel DAA, Kusin JA, Jamton AAJ. Machakas project studies. Agents affecting health of Mother and Child in a rural area of Kenya Trop, George Medo. 1983;35:193-197.
- Abraham AG, Wellington TT, Astor V. Microbiological quality of chicken, sold in Accra and determination of D 10-value of Escherichia coli. Food and Nutrition Sciences; 2012.
- Fouzia S, Jahan A, Fakruddin M, Kamrunnahar S, Hafsa A. Multi-antibiotic resistant bacteria in frozen food of animal origin sold in Dhaka, Bangladesh. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine. 2014;4:S268-S271.
- 23. International Commission on Microbiological Specifications for Food, Microorganisms in Foods; 1978.

© 2018 Stanley and Arueyingho; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/25869