



Advances in Research

11(1): 1-8, 2017; Article no. AIR.35500
ISSN: 2348-0394, NLM ID: 101666096

Effect of Relative Storability on Primed Seed Lots of Fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.)

Sunil Kumar^{1*}, O. S. Dahiya¹, Pradeep Singh¹ and V. S. Mor¹

¹Department of Seed Science and Technology, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar -125004, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Author SK designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Author OSD guided all the authors during whole period of study and article writing. Author PS managed the analyses of the study. Author VSM managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AIR/2017/35500

Editor(s):

(1) Magdalena Valsikova, Horticulture and Landscape Engineering, Slovak University of Agriculture, Nitra, Slovakia.

Reviewers:

(1) Rocky Thokchom, Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya, India.

(2) Thaise Gerber, Concórdia College, Brazil.

(3) Jose Luis Martinez, Universidad de Tabasco, Mexico.

(4) Lokesh, G. Y., University of Agricultural Sciences, India.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.science domain.org/review-history/20535>

Original Research Article

Received 16th July 2017
Accepted 14th August 2017
Published 18th August 2017

ABSTRACT

The present research was carried out on two genotypes of fenugreek viz. HM-57 and HM-103 with two seed lots of each genotype including fresh and one year old seed lot were stored under ambient condition and the observations were recorded at the interval of 3 months. In this experiment, both seed lots of two genotypes were tested for various physiological and biochemical basis for loss in viability and vigour. The hydration (6 h) and dehydration at room temperature followed by dry dressing with thiram (0.25%) recorded significantly maximum germination over the treatments throughout the storage period in both varieties and seed lots showed higher improvement in all laboratory and field parameters followed by hydration-dehydration treatment. Lot (L₂) seeds showed better improvements over lot (L₁) for standard germination, root length, shoot length, vigour indices, viability, Electrical conductivity. Whereas L₁ seed lots showed better improvement in test weight, seed density, seedling dry weight. The variety HM-57 showed higher improvement in test weight, seed density, standard germination, root length, vigour index-I, whereas HM-103 showed higher improvement in shoot length, seedling dry weight, vigour index-II.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: Sunnygodara61@gmail.com;

Keywords: Fenugreek; seed lots; storability; priming.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) is an annual herbaceous multipurpose crop grown during winter season in North India. The seed is mainly used as condiment and in the pharmaceutical industry especially in preparation of ayurvedic medicines, while young plants are used as a vegetable and forage. Seed is an important component and availability of viable and vigorous seed at the planting time is important for achieving increase agricultural productivity because good quality seed acts as a catalyst for realizing the potential of other inputs. Main objective of the seed storage is to preserve the seed quality and to prolong the self life under different sets of storage conditions. The knowledge of the relative storability of seed lots/varieties also helps in making certain decisions during storage to maintain its vigour and viability.

Seed quality plays an important role in the crop establishment and overall performance of the crop. Availability of viable and vigorous seeds at the planting time is important for achieving targets of agricultural production because good quality seed acts as a catalyst for realizing the full potential of other inputs. Since the total cultivable area is decreasing due to over growing population, the increased agricultural productivity is the only option. Good seed in good land yield abundant. The good quality seed is pre-requisite to enhance the production and productivity. Seed is an important component and the quality seed plays a crucial role in agricultural production as well as in national economy. Use of quality seeds increased productivity of crop by 15-20% Sidhawani [1].

Plant breeders have developed a number of high yielding varieties and to harvest their potential, quality seed has been recognized as an important and cheapest input. The quality of seed is mainly measured by its genetic purity and capacity to develop into a healthy plant. The unfavorable environment (especially exposure to rains just for one week) during the post maturation, pre-harvest environment can greatly accelerate the seed ageing phenomenon, resulting in 20 to 30% loss of seed viability. The seed of particular harvest cannot be used immediately for sowing the following crop because of the time required for processing and

for seed certification prior to the marketing of seeds. Therefore, the fresh seeds have to be stored for 6-8 months after harvest and under uncontrolled storage conditions.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was conducted during 2013-14 at the laboratories and research farm area of Seed Science & Technology Section CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar. Seed material comprised of two varieties viz. HM-57, HM-103 of fenugreek crop was procured from the Department of Vegetable Science, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar. Two seed lots of each variety include – L₁= Standard germination above Indian minimum seed certification standards (>70%), L₂ = Standard germination below Indian minimum seed certification standards (<70%) and each seed lot of both varieties was invigorated with following priming treatments prior to lab and field study.

- T₀ Untreated (control)
- T₁ Hydration (6 h.) and dehydration at room temperature
- T₂ 2% CaCl₂ (6 h) at room temperature and surface drying at room temperature
- T₃ Hydration with 50 ppm GA₃ (6 h.) and surface drying at room temperature
- T₄ As in T₁ followed by dry dressing with thiram @ 0.25% (6 h.) and surface drying at room temperature
- T₅ 0.5% KNO₃ hydration (6 h.) and dehydration at room temperature

After each treatment seed were dried back to original moisture content under shade and observations on following parameters were recorded:

2.1 Laboratory Parameters

2.1.1 Standard germination (%)

One hundred seeds of each lot in three replicates were placed in between sufficient moistened rolled towel papers (BP) and kept at 20°C in seed germinator. The first count was taken on 5th day and final count on 14th day and only normal seedlings were considered for percent germination according to the rules of International Seed Testing Association (ISTA [2]).

2.1.2 Root length (cm)

Five normal seedlings were selected randomly at the time of final count of standard germination and average length of five root lengths was measured in centimeters.

2.1.3 Shoot length (cm)

Five normal seedlings were selected randomly at the time of final count of standard germination and average length of five shoot length was measured in centimeters.

2.1.4 Seedling dry weight (mg)

Seedling dry weight was assessed after the final count in the standard germination test (14 days). Ten seedlings of each lot replicated thrice were taken. Seedlings were dried in a hot air oven for 24 hrs at 80±1°C. The dried seedlings of each replication were weighed and average seedling dry weight of each variety was calculated.

2.1.5 Seedling vigour indices

Seedling vigour indices were calculated according to the method suggested by Abdul-Baki and Anderson [3].

I. Vigour index-I (on seedling length basis):

Vigour index-I = Standard Germination (%)
x seedling length (cm)

II. Vigour Index-II (on seedling dry weight basis):

Vigour index-II = Standard Germination (%)
x seedling dry weight (mg)

2.1.6 Electrical conductivity (µS/cm/seed)

To measure the electrical conductivity, 50 normal and uninjured seeds in three replications were soaked in 75 ml deionized water in 100 ml beakers. Seeds were immersed completely in water and beakers were covered with foil. Thereafter, these samples were kept at 25°C for 24 h. The electrical conductivity of the seed leachates was measured using a direct reading conductivity meter and expressed in µS/cm/seed.

The primed seed lots were stored under ambient condition and following observation were recorded at the interval of 3 months. The data was collected on standard germination (%), root

and shoot length (cm), seedling dry weight (g), seed vigour Index- (I & II) and electrical conductivity (µS/cm/seed). The factorial experiment in completely randomized design (CRD) as well as in randomized block design (RBD) was conducted for laboratory and field parameters, respectively.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Standard Germination (%)

The results revealed that standard germination percentage decreased significantly as period of storability increased in both varieties and lots recorded significantly maximum germination over the treatments throughout the storage period in both varieties and seed lots. At the end of storage period (9 months) maximum seed germination (76.00) was in variety HM-103 with T₄ treatment in lot L₁ while minimum germination (49.30) was recorded in variety HM-103 with T₃ treatment of lot L₂ (Table 1). Selvarani [4] treated onion seeds with water (hydropriming) and salts of KNO₃ revealed that priming treatments increased the speed of germination, seedling length, protein content and enzyme activity but lower the electrical activity of seeds when compared to control. Seed stored for zero, one, two, and three year under ambient conditions (kalsa [5]). Similar results were observed on onion by Kumar [6]; on sweet pepper by Kaewnaree [7], Kumar [8]; Kumar [9]; on Entada pursaetha by Priya [10]; in tomato by Perez-Camacho [11]; on soybean by Mohammadi [12]; on ksauri methi by Sherawat [13] and on papper and tomato by Valsikova [14]. It is concluded that storage has adverse effect on germination.

3.2 Root Length (cm)

After 9 month of storage period, the maximum root length (9.72 cm) was in HM-57 treated with T₄ in lot L₁ while minimum (6.01 cm) in HM-57 with T₂ in lot L₂ (Table 2). Similar finding was reported in coriander by Kumar (8) and Kumar (9); in turnip by Khan [15]; in wheat by Singh [16].

3.3 Shoot Length (cm)

The maximum shoot length (18.06 cm) was recorded in HM-57 with T₄ in lot L₁ while minimum (8.35 cm) shoot length in HM-57 with T₀ in lot L₂ (Table 3). The increase in seedling length by various pre-sowing treatments can be due to the beneficial effect in quick and uniform

germination, due to intensified hydrolytic process, better uptake of nutrient and moisture and imparting stimulation for better establishment of seedling. All the physiological parameters viz., germination percentage, viability, root length, shoot length, and consequently the vigour index in all varieties of chickpea were significantly decreased with accelerated ageing Kapoor [17].

Table 1. Effect of priming on standard germination (%) during storage period

Treatment	HM -57							
	0 month		3 months		6 months		9 months	
	L ₁	L ₂	L ₁	L ₂	L ₁	L ₂	L ₁	L ₂
T ₀	83.00 (65.62)	65.30 (53.91)	80.00 (63.41)	62.30 (52.12)	77.00 (61.33)	61.70 (51.73)	72.70 (58.47)	59.30 (50.36)
T ₁	85.00 (67.21)	72.00 (53.03)	79.70 (63.20)	70.00 (56.77)	77.00 (61.33)	68.30 (55.74)	75.30 (60.22)	65.00 (53.71)
T ₂	81.30 (64.37)	68.00 (55.54)	77.70 (61.79)	65.30 (53.91)	76.00 (60.66)	63.70 (52.91)	74.30 (59.55)	62.00 (59.55)
T ₃	84.00 (66.40)	69.30 (56.36)	79.70 (63.18)	65.70 (54.12)	76.70 (61.10)	63.00 (52.52)	74.00 (59.32)	61.70 (59.32)
T ₄	86.00 (68.01)	73.30 (58.90)	83.30 (65.91)	70.00 (56.77)	80.00 (63.43)	68.00 (55.54)	74.70 (59.77)	65.00 (59.78)
T ₅	80.00 (63.43)	63.70 (52.92)	77.30 (61.55)	61.00 (51.35)	75.30 (60.22)	59.30 (50.37)	72.70 (58.46)	55.70 (58.46)
HM-103								
T ₀	82.70 (65.40)	65.00 (53.72)	77.00 (61.33)	63.00 (52.51)	74.30 (59.54)	61.00 (51.34)	70.00 (56.77)	58.70 (49.97)
T ₁	84.30 (66.69)	70.30 (56.99)	79.70 (63.23)	67.70 (55.33)	77.00 (61.33)	64.70 (53.51)	74.70 (59.78)	60.70 (51.44)
T ₂	80.30 (63.68)	69.70 (56.58)	77.30 (61.55)	66.70 (54.72)	74.70 (59.76)	64.00 (53.11)	72.30 (58.25)	61.30 (51.54)
T ₃	77.30 (61.62)	61.70 (51.73)	76.00 (60.67)	57.70 (49.39)	73.70 (59.11)	57.70 (49.39)	71.30 (57.61)	49.30 (44.60)
T ₄	86.00 (68.01)	72.00 (58.05)	82.00 (64.91)	65.30 (53.91)	79.00 (62.70)	63.70 (52.91)	76.00 (60.65)	62.70 (52.31)
T ₅	80.00 (63.47)	63.30 (63.47)	77.30 (61.57)	61.70 (51.73)	76.70 (61.10)	59.70 (50.55)	73.70 (59.11)	57.70 (49.39)

C.D. ($P = 0.05$); $V = 1.007$; $L = 1.007T = 1.744$; $V \times L \times T = N.S.$

Table 2. Effect of priming on root length (cm) during storage period

Treatment	HM -57							
	0 month		3 months		6 months		9 months	
	L ₁	L ₂	L ₁	L ₂	L ₁	L ₂	L ₁	L ₂
T ₀	9.41	6.56	9.08	6.28	8.52	6.18	8.23	6.01
T ₁	10.07	6.98	9.65	6.62	9.44	6.28	9.29	6.08
T ₂	10.01	6.73	9.62	6.45	9.27	6.22	9.10	6.06
T ₃	9.64	6.87	9.41	6.50	9.22	6.36	9.08	6.19
T ₄	10.59	7.76	10.25	7.44	10.01	7.15	9.72	6.94
T ₅	10.16	7.17	10.06	7.05	9.75	6.91	9.54	6.70
HM-103								
T ₀	8.88	7.08	8.55	6.85	8.35	6.51	8.15	6.27
T ₁	9.43	7.54	9.26	7.3	9.18	7.14	9.05	6.97
T ₂	9.2	7.33	9.13	7.15	8.96	7.06	8.81	6.92
T ₃	9.48	7.53	9.25	7.33	9.16	7.15	9.05	7.02
T ₄	9.76	7.88	9.46	7.57	9.27	7.41	9.25	7.17
T ₅	9.26	7.57	9.23	7.41	9.21	7.24	9.08	7.10

C.D. ($P = 0.05$); $V = 0.073$; $L = 0.073T = 0.126$; $V \times L \times T = N.S.$

3.4 Seedling Dry Weight (mg)

Seedling dry weight decreased as period of storability increased in both variety and seed lots. T₄ recorded significantly maximum seedling dry weight over the other treatments throughout the storage period in both varieties and lots. At the end of storage period the maximum seedling dry weight (7.35 mg) was in variety HM-57 with T₄ in lot L₁ while minimum seedling dry weight (6.00 mg) was in HM-103 with T₀ in lot L₂ (Table 4). Nagarajana [18] observed that increasing period, the dry weight of seedling was decreased in okra. Samriti [19], effect of

organic seed pelleting on seed storability and quality seedling production in biofuel tree species.

3.5 Vigour Index-I

After 9 months of storage, the maximum seed vigour index-I (2073.7) was in HM-57 with T₄ in lot L₁ while minimum seed vigour index-I (799.2) in HM-103 with T₃ in lot L₂ (Table 5). Reduction in vigour index was observed as the storage period increased. This may be due to reduction in seedling length. These results are same in accordance with Nagarajana [18] in okra.

Table 3. Effect of priming on shoot length (cm) during storage period

Treatment	HM -57							
	0 month		3 months		6 months		9 months	
	L ₁	L ₂	L ₁	L ₂	L ₁	L ₂	L ₁	L ₂
T ₀	15.93	9.82	15.61	9.15	15.51	8.75	15.22	8.35
T ₁	17.47	10.17	17.45	9.8	17.39	9.35	17.04	9.12
T ₂	17.9	10.42	16.94	9.94	16.38	9.76	16.15	9.45
T ₃	17.45	10.33	16.74	9.79	16.49	9.53	16.25	9.24
T ₄	18.4	11.12	18.31	10.72	18.15	10.38	18.06	10.14
T ₅	18.03	10.4	17.85	10.41	17.54	10.14	17.23	9.96
	HM-103							
T ₀	16.54	9.77	15.34	9.57	15.15	9.24	14.93	9.08
T ₁	18.13	10.39	15.85	9.63	15.56	9.4	15.22	9.19
T ₂	17.28	10.57	15.94	9.98	15.67	9.73	15.39	9.45
T ₃	18.25	10.83	16.6	9.76	16.12	9.38	16.25	9.18
T ₄	18.93	11.51	17.48	10.76	17.51	10.32	17.27	9.98
T ₅	17.65	11.01	17.44	10.18	17.17	9.81	17.05	9.76

C.D. ($P = 0.05$); $V = 0.073$; $L = 0.073T = 0.127$; $V \times L \times T = 0.253$

Table 4. Effect of priming on seedling dry weight (mg) during storage period

Treatment	HM -57							
	0 month		3 months		6 months		9 months	
	L ₁	L ₂	L ₁	L ₂	L ₁	L ₂	L ₁	L ₂
T ₀	7.35	6.37	7.17	6.35	7.10	6.27	7.01	6.15
T ₁	7.41	6.54	7.54	6.40	7.27	6.38	7.12	6.27
T ₂	7.53	6.59	7.60	6.41	7.32	6.31	7.15	6.23
T ₃	7.59	6.44	7.36	6.43	7.24	6.31	7.11	6.17
T ₄	8.04	6.81	7.87	6.46	7.57	6.41	7.35	6.31
T ₅	7.70	6.54	7.75	6.34	7.49	6.18	7.25	6.12
	HM-103							
T ₀	7.23	6.37	7.18	6.25	7.18	6.19	7.06	6.00
T ₁	7.33	6.62	7.31	6.48	7.31	6.28	7.17	6.12
T ₂	7.47	6.54	7.36	6.36	7.36	6.28	7.18	6.11
T ₃	7.53	6.72	7.29	6.39	7.29	6.31	7.11	6.22
T ₄	7.94	6.98	7.64	6.50	7.64	6.44	7.29	6.27
T ₅	7.54	6.69	7.43	6.46	7.43	6.33	7.12	6.17

C.D. ($P = 0.05$); $V = 0.038$; $L = 0.038T = 0.065$; $V \times L \times T = 0.130$

3.6 Vigour Index-II

Similarly maximum seed vigour index-II (539.6) was in HM-57 with T₄ in lot L₁ while minimum seed vigour index-II (326.5) was in HM-103 with T₃ in lot L₂ (Table 6). Basu [20] studied that vigour index- I, and Vigour index- II decreased as duration of storage period increased in maize.

3.7 Electrical Conductivity ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}/\text{seed}$)

After 9 month, the minimum electrical conductivity (285.6) was in HM-57 with T₄ in lot

L₁ while maximum electrical conductivity (425.1) in HM-57 with T₁ in lot L₂ (Table 7). Similar increase in electrical conductivity of seed leachates was observed during natural ageing on turnip by Khan [15]; on fenugreek by Singh [21]; on onion by Kumar [6]; On coriander by Kumar [8]; on wheat by Singh [16]; On soybean by Mohammadi [12]. The good quality seed (fresh seed lot) will release less leachates as compare to old seed lots. Kaewnaree [7] on sweet pepper demonstrated that cell membrane damage decrease ability of carrier proteins and cause to increase electrolyte leakage in sweet pepper after accelerated ageing.

Table 5. Effect of priming on vigour index-I during storage period

Treatment	HM -57							
	0 month		3 months		6 months		9 months	
	L ₁	L ₂	L ₁	L ₂	L ₁	L ₂	L ₁	L ₂
T ₀	2104.1	1070.3	1975.1	961.9	1849.4	920.2	1704.5	851.7
T ₁	2340.6	1234.0	2158.7	1149.4	2066.2	1067.9	1984.1	988.1
T ₂	2270.3	1165.3	2062.9	1070.7	1949.5	1017.3	1877.1	961.4
T ₃	2276.1	1193.4	2083.3	1069.2	1970.8	1001.0	1874.9	951.5
T ₄	2493.6	1383.6	2379.2	1271.4	2253.0	1192.1	2073.7	1110.0
T ₅	2255.4	1117.6	2158.4	1064.6	2055.9	1011.5	1945.9	927.5
	HM-103							
T ₀	2101.0	1095.5	1839.8	1034.5	1747.6	986.5	1615.5	931.0
T ₁	2324.3	1262.2	2000.3	1145.4	1904.9	1069.7	1811.9	1012.8
T ₂	2127.8	1247.8	1938.9	1142.3	1838.9	1074.5	1750.3	1003.8
T ₃	2145.0	1131.8	1964.5	985.4	1861.8	865.1	1805.1	799.2
T ₄	2467.9	1395.8	2209.0	1197.3	2115.6	1104.5	2015.4	1006.4
T ₅	2154.1	1176.8	2062.8	1084.9	2022.4	1017.7	1924.7	972.5

C.D. ($P = 0.05$); $V = 23.852$; $L = 23.852T = 40.893$; $V \times L \times T = N.S.$

Table 6. Effect of priming on vigour index-II during storage period

Treatment	HM -57							
	0 month		3 months		6 months		9 months	
	L ₁	L ₂	L ₁	L ₂	L ₁	L ₂	L ₁	L ₂
T ₀	610.28	415.8	573.6	395.6	546.4	386.8	514.7	383.1
T ₁	629.5	471.2	600.7	448.2	560.1	427.2	537.1	400.6
T ₂	612.4	448.2	590.4	419.0	556.4	399.3	536.6	380.8
T ₃	637.7	446.4	586.1	422.1	555.3	405.0	535.3	395.6
T ₄	691.1	499.2	655.9	452.0	605.7	405.7	539.6	384.5
T ₅	616.1	416.9	599.4	387.0	564.0	434.8	519.4	387.1
	HM-103							
T ₀	597.4	414.4	552.8	394.0	533.7	381.3	502.3	363.9
T ₁	618.1	465.4	582.4	438.7	551.6	404.3	542.4	361.8
T ₂	600.2	455.1	569.4	424.0	547.2	387.5	507.6	358.2
T ₃	582.5	414.3	554.3	368.6	544.1	353.4	528.8	326.5
T ₄	682.6	502.7	626.7	424.8	580.2	386.9	538.9	384.8
T ₅	603.2	423.6	574.7	398.5	511.8	421.7	495.5	382.2

C.D. ($P = 0.05$); $V = 8.155$; $L = 8.155T = 14.125$; $V \times L \times T = N.S.$

Table 7. Effect of priming on electrical conductivity ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}/\text{seed}$) during storage period

Treatment	HM -57							
	0 month		3 months		6 months		9 months	
	L ₁	L ₂	L ₁	L ₂	L ₁	L ₂	L ₁	L ₂
T ₀	274.9	390.1	279.4	404.6	295.1	411.9	312.4	425.0
T ₁	254.7	369.4	270.9	401.0	284.6	415.9	294.5	425.1
T ₂	265.4	370.3	281.6	381.8	288.4	393.8	293.5	412.9
T ₃	267.2	374.4	272.8	386.0	284.7	405.1	292.7	420.0
T ₄	241.3	345.2	264.3	386.2	270.7	397.8	285.6	408.8
T ₅	253.4	376.1	277.6	386.8	290.2	410.0	297.2	417.9
	HM-103							
T ₀	289.0	379.5	299.5	387.7	316.6	409.6	327.6	423.9
T ₁	266.6	355.5	297.3	388.7	306.8	412.9	331.5	424.4
T ₂	278.3	372.4	298.9	390.6	314.7	395.2	331.6	421.0
T ₃	279.8	377.5	302.2	392.8	312.1	410.2	320.0	422.5
T ₄	252.3	346.4	276.9	374.5	303.5	391.3	310.3	406.7
T ₅	271.8	370.6	278.9	388.6	231.1	405.3	323.7	423.6

C.D. ($P = 0.05$); $V = 3.925$; $L = 3.925T = 6.799$; $V \times L \times T = 13.597$

4. CONCLUSION

From present study, it is evident that Lot L₁ (marginal lot) showed better improvement in standard germination as compared to lot L₂ (good quality) because seeds of good quality were already close to optimum performance, naturally the possibility of improving seed quality is limited as compared to seeds of lower quality. The quality of seed (in terms of germinability and field performance) can be improved by applying pre-sowing seed soaking treatment in both the seed lots and more so in the marginal quality seeds indicating that these treatments are more effective in low quality seeds. It is concluded from this study that the germination level of marginal/sub standard seed lots in fenugreek can be improved by using pre-sowing seed treatments. This practice may prove beneficial in this crop since a slight (4-5%) improvement in germination can save lakh of rupees.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Sidhawani SK. Use of certified seeds and its contribution towards productivity. In: Seminar Seed Industry in Haryana, Present at September 12-13, CCS HAU, Hisar; 1991.
- ISTA. International rules for seed testing. International Seed Testing Association, Zurich. Switzerland; 2011.
- Abdul-Baki and Anderson JD. Physiological and biochemical deterioration of seeds. In: Koziowski TT. Seed Biology Academic Press, New York. 1972;2:283-316.
- Selvarani K, Umarani R, Sivasubramaniam K. Effect of priming on stored onion seeds Madras Agriculture Journal. 2011;98(4-6): 124-128.
- Kalsa KK, Tomer RPS, Abebie B. Effects of storage duration and hydro-priming on seed germination and vigour of Common vetch. J. Sci. & Develop. 2011; 1(1):65-73.
- Kumar A. Seed quality assessment in naturally aged seeds of onion (*Allium cepa*). M. Sc. Thesis, submitted to CCS HAU, Hisar; 2004.
- Kaewnaree P, Vichitphan S, Klanrit P, Siri B, Vichitphan K. Effect of accelerated aging process on seed quality and biochemical changes in sweet pepper (*Capsicum annuum* Linn.). Seeds Biotechnol. 2011;10(2):175-182.
- Kumar A. Seed quality assessment in naturally aged seeds of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.). M. Sc. Thesis, submitted to CCS HAU, Hisar; 2007.
- Kumar V. Studies on seed viability and vigour in naturally aged seeds of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.). M. Sc. Thesis, submitted to CCS HAU, Hisar; 2010.
- Priya SV, Rao JVS. Effect of storage period in seed and seedling vigour of Entada pursaetha an endangered gigantic medicinal liana. Seed Sci. & Technol. 2008;36(2):475-480.

11. Perez-Camacho I, Ayala Garay OJ, Gonzalez Hernandez VA, Carrillo Salazar JA, Pena Lomeli A, Garcia de los-Santos G. Morphological and physiological markers of seed deterioration in husk tomato. *Agrociencia*. 2008;42(8):891-901.
12. Mohammadi H, Soltani A, Sadeghipour HR, Zeiali E. Effect of seed ageing on subsequent seed reserve utilization and seedling growth in soybean. *Int. J. Pl. Production*. 2011;5(1):65-70.
13. Sherawat Shamsheer, Kharb RPS, Bhuker Axay. Standardization of germination test in kasuri methi (*Trigonella corniculata* L.) *Forage Res*. 2014;39(4):208-209.
14. Valšíková M, Plathyová L, Mezeyová I. Dependence of pepper and tomato seeds germination on fruit ripening. In: Chemical, biological and physical sciences, an international peer review E-3. *Journal of Sciences, Section B: Biological Sciences*. 2015;5(3):2661-2670. ISSN: 2249-192
15. Khan MM, Iqbal MJ, Abbas M. Loss of viability correlates with membrane damage in aged turnip (*Brassica rapa*) seeds. *Seed Sci. & Technol*. 2005;33(2):517-520.
16. Singh D. Seed quality assessment in artificial and natural aged seed of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* (L.)). Ph.D. thesis submitted to CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar; 2009.
17. Kapoor N, Arya A, Siddiqui MA, Amir A and Kumar H. Seed deterioration in chickpea (*Cicer arietnum* L.) under accelerated ageing. *Asian J. Plant Sci*. 2010;9(3):158-162.
18. Nagarajan S, Sinha JP and Pandita VK. Accelerated ageing behaviour of okra seed lots conditioned to different moisture levels and its relation to seed water characteristics. *Seed Res*. 2004;32(2): 113-117.
19. Srimathi P, Mariappan N, Sundaramoorthy L, Paramathma M. Effect of organic seed pelleting on seed storability and quality seedling production in biofuel tree species. 2013;5(5):68-73.
20. Basu S, Sharma SP, Dadlani M. Storability studies on maize (*Zea mays* L.) parental line seeds under natural and accelerated ageing conditions. *Seed Sci. & Technol*. 2004;32:239-245.
21. Singh Bahader, Bhuker Axay, Mor VS, Dahiya OS, Punia RC. Effect of natural ageing on seed quality of fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) *IJSRST Science and Technology*. 2015;1(4).

© 2017 Kumar et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/20535>