

Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition

Volume 10, Issue 3, Page 394-398, 2024; Article no.AJSSPN.121058 ISSN: 2456-9682

Impact of Plant Growth Regulators on Growth, Yield and Fruit Quality of Strawberry (Fragaria × ananassa Duch.) Cultivar Winter Dawn under Polyhouse (Natural Ventilated Polyhouse)

Shubhangi Shekhar a++* and Devi Singh a#

^a Department of Horticulture, NAI, SHUATS, Prayagraj (Uttar Pradesh), India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/ajsspn/2024/v10i3350

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/121058

Original Research Article

Received: 28/05/2024 Accepted: 01/08/2024 Published: 06/08/2024

ABSTRACT

The present experiment was laid out in randomized block design, and the study consists of ten treatments with three replications including control. From the present investigation, it was concluded that the treatment T10 (NAA-125ppm) was found to be best in the term of vegetative

++ M.Sc. Research Scholar;

*Associate Professor;

*Corresponding author: Email: shubhangin171128@gmail.com;

Cite as: Shekhar, Shubhangi, and Devi Singh. 2024. "Impact of Plant Growth Regulators on Growth, Yield and Fruit Quality of Strawberry (Fragaria × Ananassa Duch.) Cultivar Winter Dawn under Polyhouse (Natural Ventilated Polyhouse)". Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 10 (3):394-98. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajsspn/2024/v10i3350.

parameter, flowering, fruiting, yield and quality of strawberry. The present experiment concluded that, for better vegetative growth, yield and quality of strawberry fruits. It is concluded that the treatment T10 (NAA-125ppm) was found best in terms of vegetative growth and yield characters of strawberry. The economics of the treatment T10 was found best in terms of B:C ratio 3.25 of strawberry.

Keywords: Strawberry; NAA; growth; yield and quality.

1. INTRODUCTION

The modern cultivation strawberry (*Fragaria x ananassa Duch*) is a hybrid between two largely dioecious octaploid species, Fragaria chiloensis and Fragaria verginiana. Fragaria species belongs to the family Rosaceae, with basic chromosome number of x=7. However the cultivated strawberry, (*Fragaria x ananassa*, is an octaploid having chromosome number of 2n=56. In addition to Fragaria x ananassa the genus fragaria includes atleast 17 other species including diploids, tetraploids, octaploids and a hexaploids.

It is grown all over the world. Area is 21 hectare and production 200-ton/season average weights 20-30 g/fruit. Fallowing the runaway successes of experiment plantation strawberry have today become a popular and lucrative source of income for Meghalaya farmers [1-3].

Strawberry is a slow growing herbaceous plant with a short perennial branch, crown and runners are produced in the axils of the leaves, which are normally trifoliate [1,2]. Strawberry fruits are richest source of vitamin (both fat soluble A, D, E and K), protein, minerals likes calcium, iron, and phosphorus [4]. Nutritionally strawberry is a lowcalorie carbohydrate fruit but a rich source of Vitamin A (60 IU/100g of edible portion), Vitamin C (30-120mg/100g of edible portion) and fiber. Water is a major constituent (90%) of strawberry fruit [5-7].

The total world area and production of strawberry was 2.6 lakh and 3.61 MT respectively. Strawberries are grown throughout most of the parts of United state, Canada, European including France, countries Italy, United Kingdom, Bulgaria, Poland. In India, strawberry is cultivated in J & K, hills of Himachal Pradesh, Nainital, Dehradun, Mahabaleshwar, but Kashmir occupies a leading place for its successful and cultivation profitable increased due to introduction [8,9].

In India the total area of strawberry is 1000ha with production of 5000 MT. (Brahamchari et al

2017). In India, Maharashtra is the leading state in. The nutrition status of strawberry plant plays a vital role in determining the yield and yield attributing parameters since, it is a very sensitive plant to nutritional balance.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Geographical Location of the Experimental Site

Present experiment was carried out in naturally ventilated polyhouse to study the growth and yield parameters of strawberry under Prayagraj condition. The experimental site is located in the sub–tropical region which is located at 250.271N latitude, 810.561E longitude and 98m above the mean sea level.

Area of Prayagraj district comes under subtropical belt in the south east of Uttar Pradesh, which experience extremely hot summer and fairly cold winter. The maximum temperature of the location reaches up to $46 \, ^{\circ}\text{C} - 48^{\circ}\text{C}$ and seldom falls as low as $4^{\circ}\text{C} - 5^{\circ}\text{C}$. The relative humidity ranges between 20 to 94 percent. The average rainfalls in this area around 1013.4m mannually.

2.2 Experimental Details

2.2.1 Treatment combinations

T0 Control, T1 Cycocel (500ppm), T2 Cycocel (750ppm), T3 Cycocel (1000ppm), T4 Cycocel (1250ppm), T5 Cycocel (1500ppm), T6 NAA (25ppm), T7 NAA (50ppm),T8NAA (75ppm), T9 NAA (100ppm),T10 NAA (125ppm).

3.RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Effect of Plant Growth Regulators on Growth, Yield and Fruit Quality of Strawberry (*Fragaria* × ananassa Duch.) Cultivar Winter Dawn under Polyhouse (Natural Ventilated Polyhouse) Plant Height (cm)

At harvest, the superiority of treatment T10 (NAA, 125ppm), registered maximum plant

height (41.75 cm), which was found at par with T7 (NAA, 50ppm) having the plant height of 40.67 cm. However the minimum plant height (19.21 cm) was recorded under the treatment Control (T0).

At harvest, the superiority of treatment T10 (NAA, 125ppm), registered maximum number of leaves per plant (59.35), which was found at per with T7 (NAA, 50ppm) having the number of leaves per plant of 57.25. However the minimum number of leaves per plant (40.59) was recorded under the treatment Control (T0).

The leaf area at harvest, the superiority of treatment T10 (NAA, 125ppm), registered maximum leaf area (95.90 cm²), which was found at par with T8 (NAA, 75ppm) having the leaf area of 96.72 cm². However the minimum leaf area (89.32 cm²) was recorded under the treatment Control (T0).

The plant spread from E-W, the maximum plant spread (55.49 cm) was recorded under the treatment T10 (NAA, 125ppm) which was found at par with T_4 (Cycocel, 1250ppm) having the plant spread 54.22 cm. However, the lowest plant spread (30.60 cm) was confirmed under the treatment T0.

Maximum number of runners was recorded with T10 (NAA, 125ppm) T9 and T5 (4.67). Closely followed by T8 (4.33). Minimum numbers of runners was recorded in T0 (2.67). Maximum number of days to taken first harvest was recorded with T0 (control) 62.33. Closely followed by T1 (Cycocel, 500ppm)(61.25). Minimum number of days to taken first harvest was recorded in T10 (NAA, 125ppm)(53.38).

Maximum number of days to taken final harvest was recorded with T_{10} (NAA, 125ppm) 96.12. Closely followed by T9 (NAA, 100ppm) (93.01).Minimum number of days to taken final harvest was recorded in T0control (85.95).

Maximum number of flowers per plant was recorded with T10 (NAA, 125ppm) 58.77. Closely followed by T9 (NAA, 100ppm)(54.56).Minimum number of flowers per plant was recorded in T0control (35.89).

Maximum number of fruits per plant and was recorded with T10 (NAA, 125ppm) with 30.25. Closely followed by T7 (NAA, 125ppm) (29.50).Minimum number of fruits per plant was recorded in T0 control (17.44).

Maximum fruit length of fruit was recorded with T10 (NAA, 125ppm) 4.95 mm closely followed by T6 (NAA, 25ppm) (4.72 cm). Minimum fruit length was recorded in T0control(2.92 cm).

Maximum fruit weight (g) was recorded with T10 (NAA, 125ppm) 26.17 g closely followed by T9 (26.05 g). Minimum fruit weight (g) was recorded in T0 control (22.29 g).

The data presented in the revealed the fruit yield per plant (kg) was significantly influenced by different treatments. Maximum fruit yield per plant (kg) was recorded with T₁₀ (NAA, 125ppm), 0.79 kg closely followed by T7 (0.72 kg). Minimum fruit yield per plant (kg) was recorded in T0control (0.39kg) treatments. Maximum fruit yield (q per ha) was recorded with T10 (NAA, 125ppm) 39.58 q/ha closely followed by T7 (36.05 q/ha). Minimum fruity I e I d (q per ha) was recorded in T0control (19.44 q/ha).



Shekhar and Singh; Asian J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutri., vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 394-398, 2024; Article no.AJSSPN.121058



Vitamin C (mg/100g)

Fig. 1. Bar graph showing treatment variation for different parameters

Treatment	Plant	No. of	Leaf	No. of	Days	taken	Days	taken	No of	No	of
	height	leaves	area	runners	to	first	to	final	flowers	fruits	
		/plant			harves	t	harve	st	/plant	/plant	
Т0	19.21	40.59	89.32	2.67	62.33		85.95		35.89	17.44	
T1	22.21	42.65	91.81	3.67	61.25		91.12		39.72	19.74	
T2	23.64	43.63	92.61	3.67	59.49		91.49		41.72	20.41	
Т3	23.91	46.21	93.1	4.33	60.57		91.56		45.82	22	
T4	24.78	44.42	91.83	4.33	59.38		92.33		48.93	24.73	
T5	28.76	45.2	92.93	4.67	59.9		89.26		47.8	26.37	
T6	30.33	51.88	93.67	3.44	58.39		89.6		50.46	27.82	
T7	40.67	57.26	94.56	3.66	57.39		91.01		51.66	29.5	
T8	29.68	48.25	96.72	4.33	55.17		89.79		52.96	22.02	
Т9	31.24	53.8	95.67	4.67	54.74		93.01		54.56	25.89	
T10	41.75	59.35	95.9	4.67	53.38		96.12		58.77	30.25	
F test	S	S	S	S	S		S		S	S	
CDat 5%	1.84	1.61	0.63	0.19	0.75		0.6		1.84	1.17	
SEm±	1.01	0.89	0.35	0.11	0.41		0.33		1.01	0.64	
CV	22.81	11.03	2.25	15.79	4.15		2.2		13.51	12.86	
Treatment	Fruit length	Fruit	diameter	Fruit w	/eight (g)	Frui	t yield p	lant(kg)	Fruit yi	eld(q/had	c)
Т0	2.92	2.69		22.29		0.39)		19.44		
T1	3.35	2.71		24.55		0.48	3		24.23		
T2	3.58	2.96		24.46		0.5			24.96		
Т3	3.67	3.36		23.87		0.53	3		26.26		
T4	3.74	3.01		23.51		0.58	3		29.07		
T5	4.24	3.22		25.64		0.68	3		33.81		
T6	4.72	3.57		24.57		0.68	3		34.18		
T7	3.84	3.79		24.44		0.72	2		36.05		
T8	4.17	3.56		24.24		0.53	3		26.69		
Т9	4.54	3.6		26.05		0.7			34.89		
T10	4.94	3.82		26.17		0.79	9		39.58		
F test	S	S		S		S			S		
CDat 5%	0.17	0.12		0.37		0.34	1		1.67		
SEm±	0.09	0.06		0.2		0.39	9		2.89		
CV	14.61	11.8	4	2.64		1.88	3		8.43		

Table 1. Variation in fruit parameters with different treatment efficacy

Maximum acidity percentage of fruit was recorded with T10 (NAA, 50ppm) 5.23 closely followed by T5 (5.13). Minimum acidity percentage of fruit was recorded in T10 (NAA, 125ppm)(4.87). Maximum TSS of fruit was recorded with T9 (NAA, 100ppm) 7.42 closely followed by T6 (7.38). Minimum TSS of fruit was recorded in T0control (6.36). Maximum ascorbic acid of fruit was recorded with T4 (Cycocel, 1250 ppm), 57.40 closely followed by T7 (55.99). Minimum ascorbic acid of fruit was recorded in T0control (49.70) [10].

4. CONCLUSION

The application of PGRs on fruit Strawberry cv. Winter dawn, it also helps in maintaining the soil

growth of the fruits at a desired level. It can be concluded that, for better vegetative growth , yield and quality of strawberry fruits. It is concluded that the treatment T10 (NAA125ppm) was found best in terms of vegetative growth and yield characters of strawberry. The economics of the treatment T10 was found best in terms of B:C ratio 3.25 of strawberry. So application of this PGRs can be recommended to growers after few more conjunctive trials.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Aakharova,0.M. .Application of growth regulators in strawberry propagation. DokladyMOSK-S-Kh-Ak,Ad.I.M.K.A.Timiyazeva(Russia). 1981;256:33-37.
- Abdullah, Shakir & Wani, Ab Waheed & Sharma, Ankur & Singh, Gurpreet & Zarina. Improving Production and Quality of Strawberry (Fragaria × ananassa Duch.) Cv.Chandler with Plant Growth Regulators: A Study in Northern Punjab. International JournalofPlant& Soil Science.2023;35.170-176. 10.9734/ijpss/2023/v35i213959.
- 3. Agafonov NV, Blinovski IK, Solovei EP. The preparation jury as strawberry.

Sodovodstovo (Russion).1978;NO.6: 29-30.

- 4. Deka PC, Shadeque AC..Effect of foliar spray of cycocel on fruit characters and Ascorbic acid content of sweet pepper (*Capsicum annum* var. grossum L. Send t). New Agriculturist.1996;7(1):7-10.
- 5. Brahamachari VS, Rani R. Effect of growth substances on productivity, cracking, repining and quality of fruits in litchi.2001; Orissa-j. Hort.299(1):41-45.
- Brahamachari VS, Mandal A.K, Kumar R, Rani R. Effect of growth substances of fruit set and physic- chemical characteristics of sardarguava.1995;RecantHorti.2(1):127-131.
- Dwivedi MP. Effect of photoperiod and growth regulators on vegetative growth, flowering and yield of strawberry. Ph.D. thesis, Dr. Y.S Parmar University of Horticulture and forestry, Solan, India; 1987;7:29-34.
- Asrey R, Jain OK, Singh R. Effect of plant growth regulators on growth and survival of strawberry runners under semi arid region of Punjab. Indian J. Plant Physiology. 2000-01;8(2):196-198.
- Beer, Karma, Syamal, Alok. Effect of Organic, Inorganic and Bio-Fertilizer on Growth, Flowering, Yield and Quality of Strawberry (*Fragaria × ananassa* Duch.) cv. Chan- dler. International Journal of Current microbiology and Applied Sciences.2017;6.2932-2939 10.20546/ijcmas.2017.605.332.
- 10. Ahire D.K.Effect of PGR on growth, yield and quality of strawberry (*Fragaria x ananassa Duch*) cv. Sweet Charlie.2017; 10. 8817-8819.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/121058